

1	LOIS J. SCHIFFER Assistant Attorney General
2	Environment & Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice
3	Washington, D.C. 20530
4	WILLIAM A. WEINISCHKE Trial Attorney
5	Environmental Enforcement Environment & Natural Resources Division
6	U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611, Ben Franklin Station
7	Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 514-4592
8	NORA M. MANELLA
9	United States Attorney LEON W. WEIDMAN
10	Chief, Civil Division
11	Continued on Next Page
12	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (and) STATE OF CALIFORNIA))
16	<pre>Plaintiff[s],</pre>
17	vs.) CIVIL ACTION NO. 91-
18) 4527-) MRP (Tx)
19) SECOND CONSENT DECREE
20	LOCKHEED MARTIN CORPORATION, CITY) FOR SAN FERNANDO VALLEY OF BURBANK, CALIFORNIA, a Charter) SUPERFUND SITE, BURBANK City, WEBER AIRCRAFT, INC.,) OPERABLE UNIT
21	[all settling defendants)
22	Defendants.
23	/
24	
25	
26	·
27	
28	

```
1 | KURT ZIMMERMAN
    Assistant United States Attorney
   Central District of California
   Federal Building
3
   300 North Angeles St.
   Los Angeles, CA
                    90012
4
   (213) 894-2474
5
   NANCY J. MARVEL
   Regional Counsel
6
   MARIE M. RONGONE
7
   Assistant Regional Counsel
   UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
   AGENCY, REGION IX
8
    75 Hawthorne Street
9
    San Francisco, CA 94105
    (415) 744-1313
10
    Attorneys for Plaintiff, United States of America
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
    AUGUST 5, 1996
    BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE
28
```

I. BACKGROUND	3
II. JURISDICTION	12
III. PARTIES BOUND	12
IV. DEFINITIONS	13
V. GENERAL PROVISIONS	26
VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK	31
VII. ADDITIONAL RESPONSE ACTIONS	44
VIII. EPA PERIODIC REVIEW	46
IX. OUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, and DATA ANALYSIS	47
X. <u>ACCESS</u>	50
XI. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	53
XII. SUBMISSIONS REQUIRING AGENCY APPROVAL	56
XIII. PROJECT COORDINATORS	59
XIV. FUNDING OF RESPONSE ACTIVITIES	~ 0
	60
XV. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION	84
XVI. EMERGENCY RESPONSE	86
XVII. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS	87
XVIII. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE	91
XIX. FORCE MAJEURE	96
XX. DISPUTE RESOLUTION	100
XXI. STIPULATED PENALTIES	104
XXII. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFFS	L15
XXIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS	123
XXIV. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT: CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION	125
XXV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION	128
XXVI. RETENTION OF RECORDS	130

XXVII. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS
XXVIII. <u>EFFECTIVE DATE</u>
XXIX. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
XXX. <u>APPENDICES</u>
XXXI. COMMUNITY RELATIONS
XXXII. MODIFICATION
XXXIII. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT 137
XXXIV. <u>SIGNATORIES/SERVICE</u>

1 | 2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

28

27

CONSENT DECREE

BACKGROUND I.

Summary of Site Background. Α.

The following is a summary of the Site background as alleged by the United States which, for the purposes of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants neither admit nor deny:

- 1. The United States of America ("United States"), on behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and the State of California, Department of Toxic Substances Control ("State") have filed concurrently with this Consent Decree complaints pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607 ("CERCLA"), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("SARA").
- The United States in its first amended and [supplemental] complaint, and the State in its complaint seek, inter alia: (1) reimbursement of costs of response incurred by EPA, and the Department of Justice, and the State for response actions at the Burbank Operable Unit Site ("Site") of the San Fernando Valley Superfund sites, with accrued interest; and (2) performance of response work by the Defendants at the Site consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300 (as amended) ("NCP").
- This is the second complaint the United States has filed in this action. Pursuant to the first complaint, a consent AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

decree ("First Consent Decree") was entered by this Court on March 25, 1992. A copy of the First Consent Decree is included as Exhibit 1 to this Consent Decree. Under Section XXIII (Continuing Jurisdiction) of the First Consent Decree, this Court retained jurisdiction over both the subject matter and of the parties to the original action for the duration of the First Consent Decree and for the purpose of issuing such further orders or directions as may be necessary or appropriate to construe, implement, modify, enforce, terminate or reinstate the terms of the First Consent Decree or for any further relief as the interest of justice may require.

4. The First Consent Decree provided for the defendants to the first complaint, Lockheed Corporation (now Lockheed Martin Corporation, hereinafter "Lockheed Martin"), the City of Burbank, and Weber Aircraft, Inc. ("Weber"), to fund and/or to perform certain response actions at the Site and for Lockheed Martin and Weber to pay certain costs of response incurred by EPA and the Department of Justice with respect to the Site. This consent decree ("Second Consent Decree" or "this Consent Decree") provides for the defendants that have entered into this Consent Decree (collectively, "Settling Defendants") to fund and/or to perform the remainder of the response actions and to pay part of EPA's, the Department of Justice's, and the State's remaining costs of response for the Site. In general, the Second Consent Decree provides for the continued operation and maintenance of 1) the facilities constructed under the First

Consent Decree, and 2) the facilities constructed under EPA
Unilateral Administrative Order No. 92-12 ("UAO 92-12") by the
parties to UAO 92-12 ("UAO Parties"), during the final eighteen
years of the interim remedy operating period. The Second Consent
Decree further provides for: a) the performance of the UAO
Remedial Action Work by the UAO Parties (who are all Settling
Defendants), pursuant to UAO 92-12, to the extent that work has
not been completed at the time the Second Consent Decree is
entered; and (b) the possible dismantling or decommissioning of
these facilities upon completion of the interim remedy.

- 5. Tests conducted on San Fernando Valley groundwater in the early 1980's revealed significant concentrations of volatile organic compounds ("VOCS") in San Fernando Valley Basin ("Basin") groundwater. The primary VOCS found in the Basin groundwater were trichlorethylene ("TCE") and perchloroethylene ("PCE"), which were widely used solvents in machinery degreasing, metal plating and dry cleaning. TCE and PCE have been found in the Burbank Operable Unit Site at levels that exceed the Maximum Contaminant Levels ("MCLs") for these hazardous substances.

 MCLs are safe drinking water standards established under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 300f et seg. The Federal MCL for TCE and PCE is 5 parts per billion ("ppb").
- B. Based on investigations of Basin groundwater, and pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, in June 1986 EPA placed four well field sites in the San Fernando Valley on the National Priorities List, set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 300,

Appendix B, by publication in the Federal Register (see 51 Fed. Reg. 21054): 1) the North Hollywood Superfund site (Area 1), 2) the Crystal Springs Superfund site (Area 2), 3) the Pollock Superfund site (Area 3), and 4) the Verdugo Superfund site (Area 4).

- C. EPA is conducting a Basin-wide Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study ("RI/FS") for the San Fernando Valley Superfund sites, which EPA manages as one large Superfund site. EPA has also entered into a multi-site cooperative agreement with the California Department of Health Services ("DHS") which funds DHS participation in remedial activities at many California Superfund sites, including the San Fernando Valley sites. In September of 1989, EPA entered into a cooperative agreement with the California State Water Resources Control Board ("SWRCB"). Under that cooperative agreement, SWRCB funds the Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board's ("RWQCB") ongoing source investigation and source control work in the Basin.
- D. EPA has designated four operable units within the San Fernando Valley Superfund sites known as the North Hollywood, Burbank, Glendale North and Glendale South operable units. This Site, the Burbank Operable Unit Site, is one of those four operable units.
- E. EPA has issued interim Records of Decision ("RODs") prescribing interim remedies for each of these operable units.
- F. The Site is part of the North Hollywood Area (Area 1)
 Superfund site, and is the second operable unit in the Basin for

which EPA has issued an interim ROD. The Site includes the northeast corner of the North Hollywood Area Superfund site, as well as the areas to which the plume of TCE and PCE has spread beyond the original boundaries drawn at the time the North Hollywood Area Superfund site was listed on the NPL.

- G. EPA completed an Operable Unit Feasibility Study ("OU/FS") Report on the Site in October 1988.
- H. The comment period on the OU/FS Report and the Proposed Plan for the Site opened on October 19, 1988 and closed December 2, 1988. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the OU/FS and of the Proposed Plan in two major local newspapers of general circulation, the Los Angeles Times and the Burbank Leader. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the Proposed Plan for remedial action. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the Administrative Record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the interim response actions selected for the Site.
- I. EPA issued an interim ROD for the Site on June 30, 1989, which the State had a reasonable opportunity to review. A copy of the ROD is appended as Appendix A to the First Consent Decree. The ROD included a responsiveness summary responding to the public comments received at the public meeting. Notice of the Final Plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA. The remedy described in the ROD was modified by EPA's

AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

1 Explanation of Significant Differences issued by EPA on November 2 21, 1990 ("ESD 1"). A copy of ESD 1 is included as Appendix B to the First Consent Decree. Furthermore, EPA included in the First 3 Consent Decree certain modifications to the interim remedy, as 4 provided in Subpart F of Section VII of that decree (Work To Be 5 Performed). Those modifications did not represent a fundamental 6 7 change to the remedy selected in the ROD and Explanation of Significant Differences. The remedy described in the ROD was 8 further modified by EPA's second Explanation of Differences 9 issued by EPA on ______, 1996 ("ESD 2"). A copy of EPA's 10 ESD 2 is included as Appendix 5 to this Consent Decree. 11 12 In 1989, pursuant to Section 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(e), EPA issued Special Notice for Remedial Design and 13 14 Remedial Action to potentially responsible parties for the Site. By its 1989 Special Notice, EPA sought the construction, 15 operation and maintenance of the interim remedy for the Site. 16 more fully described in the ROD, that remedy consists of a 17 18 groundwater extraction and treatment facility, a blending facility, and systems for delivering the treated groundwater to 19 the public water supply. The treated, blended groundwater 20 delivered to the public water supply shall meet all drinking 21 water standards established by the United States and the State of 22 California. The interim remedy is required to operate for twenty 23 (20) years. 24 In the First Consent Decree, Lockheed Martin, Weber and 25 26

the City of Burbank agreed to construct and/or to fund the

AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

27

28

Construction of the treatment plant for the Burbank Operable
Unit, and to operate and maintain and/or to fund the operation
and maintenance of the treatment plant for two years after
construction is complete. Lockheed Martin and Weber also agreed
to pay part of EPA's and the Department of Justice's costs for
the Site.

L. In March 1992, EPA issued UAO 92-12 to six potentially responsible parties who had received the 1989 Special Notice:
Aeroquip Corporation, Crane Company, Inc., Janco Corporation,
Sargent Industries, Incorporated, the Antonini Family Trust and
Ocean Technology, Incorporated. Copies of UAO 92-12 and the
April 28, 1992 Amendment to UAO 92-12 are included as Exhibit 2
to this Decree. UAO 92-12 ordered these parties to construct a
blending facility to receive and blend the treated groundwater
with another source of water to reduce nitrate levels and deliver
the water to the public water supply system.

M. In this action, EPA and the State seek reimbursement of past and future response costs, including Basin-wide Response Costs for the Site, which are not reimbursed pursuant to the First Consent Decree. EPA also seeks the performance of the Operation and Maintenance ("O&M") of the treatment and blending facilities for the period not provided by the First Consent Decree or UAO 92-12.

N. Based on the information presently available to EPA and the State, EPA and the State believe that this work will be properly and promptly conducted by the Settling Defendants if

conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Consent Decree and its appendices.

- O. The State is not a party to the First Consent Decree. In accordance with the NCP and Section 121(f)(1)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(f)(1)(F), EPA notified the State on September 7, 1994 of negotiations with potentially responsible parties regarding the implementation of the remainder of the remedial action for the Site, and EPA has provided the State with an opportunity to participate in such negotiations and be a party to this Consent Decree.
- P. The State has filed its complaint and is alleging that the defendants are liable to the State under Section 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, and under Chapter 6.8, Section 25300 et seg., of the California Health & Safety Code for the State's past and future response costs at the Site.
- Q. In accordance with Section 122(j)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(j)(1), EPA notified the United States Department of the Interior on September 15, 1994 of negotiations with potentially responsible parties regarding the release of hazardous substances that may have resulted in injury to the natural resources under Federal trusteeship and encouraged the trustee(s) to participate in the negotiation of this Consent Decree.
- R. Settling Defendants deny any and all legal or equitable liability under any Federal, State, or local statute, regulation or ordinance, or the common law, for any response costs, damages

1 | or claims caused by or arising out of conditions at or arising from the Burbank Well Field or the Site. By entering into this Consent Decree, or by taking any action in accordance with it, Settling Defendants do not admit any allegations contained herein or in the complaints, nor do Settling Defendants admit liability for any purpose or admit any issues of law or fact or any responsibility for releases of hazardous substance into the environment. Nothing in this Paragraph shall alter Settling Defendants' agreement not to challenge the Court's jurisdiction as set forth in Section II ("Jurisdiction"), or in any manner whatsoever affect Settling Defendants' obligations or rights under this Consent Decree, the First Decree or UAO 92-12.

- The Parties recognize, and the Court by entering this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and implementation of this Consent Decree will expedite the cleanup of the Site and will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.
- Solely for the purposes of Section 113(j) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(j), the Remedial Action selected by the ROD and the work to be performed by the Settling Defendants shall constitute a response action taken or ordered by the President.

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed:

II. JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants. Solely for the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaints, Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. Settling Defendants shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

III. PARTIES BOUND

- A. This Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon the United States and the State and upon Settling Defendants and their heirs, successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate status of a Settling Defendant including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property shall in no way alter such Settling Defendant's responsibilities under this Consent Decree.
- B. Settling Work Defendant (as defined below) shall provide a copy of this Consent Decree to each contractor hired to perform the O&M Activities (as defined below) required by this Consent Decree and to each person representing Settling Work Defendant with respect to the Site or the O&M Activities and shall condition all contracts entered into hereunder upon

AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

1 performance of the O&M Activities in conformity with the terms of this Consent Decree. Settling Work Defendant or its contractor shall provide written notice of the Consent Decree to all subcontractors hired to perform any portion of the O&M Activities required by this Consent Decree. Settling Work Defendant shall nonetheless be responsible for ensuring that its contractors and subcontractors perform the O&M Activities contemplated herein in accordance with this Consent Decree. With regard to the activities undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree, each contractor and subcontractor shall be deemed to be in a contractual relationship with Settling Work Defendant within the meaning of Section 107(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(b)(3).

IV. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used Α. in this Consent Decree which are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in the appendices attached hereto and incorporated hereunder, the following definitions shall apply:

"Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs and interest, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, attorneys' fees and just compensation, that the United States or the State has incurred or paid or will incur and pay with regard to basin-wide non-operable unit-specific response actions.

27

"Blending Facility" pertains to the blending facility and related pipeline designed and constructed by the UAO Parties pursuant to UAO 92-12, beginning generally with the B-5 Connection and concluding with the Point of Interconnection, as "B-5 Connection" and "Point of Interconnection" are defined in the First Consent Decree.

"CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 et seq.

"City" or "City of Burbank" shall mean the City of Burbank, California, as a charter city, and any of its divisions, departments and other subdivisions. "City" or "City of Burbank" shall not include any joint powers authority of which the City of Burbank is a member.

"Consent Decree" or "Second Consent Decree" shall mean this Consent Decree and all appendices attached hereto (listed in Section XXX). In the event of conflict between this Consent Decree and any appendix, this Consent Decree shall control.

"Date of Commencement" shall mean, in general, the date specified by EPA that Settling Work Defendant will assume the O&M responsibilities for the Burbank Operable Unit remedy, and Lockheed Martin and the UAO Parties shall cease their respective obligations to perform under the First Consent Decree or UAO 92-12. The parties anticipate that this date will be two years after the System Operation Date for phase two of the Remedial Action Work as specified in the First Consent Decree unless

delays, including without limitation delays which any party 1 | 2 attributes to a force majeure event, cause that date to be extended. Within thirty (30) days of the System Operation Date 3 for phase two of the Remedial Action Work as specified in the 4 5 First Consent Decree, EPA will specify the tentative Date of 6 Commencement and notify the Settling Work Defendant, Lockheed Martin and the UAO Parties of the tentative Date of Commencement. 7 EPA may revise the tentative Date of Commencement at any time 8 during phase two of the Remedial Action Work as specified in the 9 First Consent decree, and shall notify the Settling Work 10 11 Defendant, Lockheed Martin and the UAO Parties of any such 12 revision. EPA's specified tentative Date of Commencement shall control all reporting and similar requirements which are required 13 to occur in relation to the Date of Commencement. However, in no 14 event shall the Date of Commencement specified by EPA extend the 15 amount of time the interim remedy is required to operate under 16 the ROD. 17

"Day" shall mean a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a working day. "Working day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal or State holiday. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal or State holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day.

"Department of Health Services," or "DHS" shall mean the California pollution control agency of that name and any

AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

successor departments or agencies of the State of California with authority to implement the Safe Drinking Water Act.

**Department of Toxic Substances Control* or **DTSC* shall mean the California pollution control agency of that name and any successor departments or agencies of the State of California.

"Design Defect" shall mean a failure of any system required to be designed and constructed pursuant to the First Consent Decree or UAO 92-12 to perform as originally designed, which results from a failure by a design professional used by Lockheed Martin or the UAO Parties to adequately design the system to perform in the manner intended, and as described in the design specifications contained in the Final Remedial Design Reports prepared by Lockheed Martin pursuant to the First Consent Decree or the UAO Parties pursuant to UAO 92-12.

"Downstream Facilities" pertains to the Blending Facility constructed by the UAO Parties pursuant to UAO 92-12 and to facilities constructed or repaired by the City of Burbank pursuant to the First Consent Decree. Downstream Facilities also pertains to additional facilities which may be constructed pursuant to this Consent Decree downstream of the Upstream Facilities, as defined in this Section. "Downstream" pertains to the flow of extracted, treated groundwater beginning generally with the Point of Delivery as "Point of Delivery is defined by the First Consent Decree.

"EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies of the United

States.

1 |

"Explanation of Significant Differences 1" or "ESD1" shall mean the document dated November 21, 1990, Appendix B to the First Consent Decree. "Explanation of Significant Differences 2" or "ESD2" shall mean the Explanation of Significant Differences dated ______, Appendix 5 to this Consent Decree.

"First Consent Decree" shall mean the consent decree entered by this Court on March 25, 1992, resolving the underlying complaint filed by the United States against defendants Lockheed Martin, the City of Burbank and Weber, appended to this Consent Decree as Exhibit 1, and any amendments or modifications to that consent decree.

"Future Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean all Basin-wide Response Costs incurred or paid by EPA after September 30, 1995 or incurred or paid by the State after March 31, 1996.

"Future Site-Specific Response Costs" shall mean all types of costs described in the definition of Basin-wide Response Costs, (e.g., payroll costs) above, incurred or paid by the United States after the Certification of Completion issues with respect to the First Consent Decree, or by the State after March 31, 1996, with regard to Burbank Operable Unit-specific response actions.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the Hazardous substance Superfund established under Subchapter A of Chapter 98 of Title 26 of the U.S. Code, compounded on October 1 of each year, in accordance

with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a).

1 |

"Los Angeles Department of Water and Power" or "LADWP" shall mean the department of the City of Los Angeles, and any successor agencies or departments, with which EPA has entered into cooperative agreements for the performance of the Basin-wide Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study for the San Fernando Valley Superfund Sites.

"National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, including, but not limited to, any amendments thereto.

"Operation and Maintenance" or "O&M" or "O&M Activities" shall mean the activities required to operate, maintain and monitor the effectiveness of the Remedial Action as required under the Operation and Maintenance Plan(s) approved or developed by EPA in conformance with this Consent Decree, UAO 92-12, the Second Stage O&M Work Plan to be developed under this Consent Decree, and the Second Stage Statement of Work attached as Appendix 4 to this Consent Decree.

"O&M Trust Account" pertains to the trust account which Lockheed Martin shall be required to establish pursuant to Section XIV (Funding of Response Activities), Paragraph D of this Consent Decree.

"Operations and Maintenance Contractor" or "O&M Contractor" shall mean the principal contractor retained by the Settling Work

Defendant to perform the O&M Activities. The O&M Contractor shall, inter alia: 1) provide the staff to operate and maintain the Plant Facilities; 2) conduct the day-to-day physical tasks of operating the Plant Facilities; 3) perform routine water quality monitoring; 4) physically perform the routine and non-routine maintenance of the Plant Facilities; and 5) maintain the daily operational records of the Plant Facilities.

"Owner Settling Defendants" shall mean the Settling Defendants listed in Appendix 2.

"Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree or the First Consent Decree identified by an arabic numeral or an upper case letter.

"Parties" shall mean the United States, the State of California and the Settling Defendants.

"Past Basin-wide Response Costs" shall mean all Basin-wide Response Costs incurred and paid by EPA prior to September 30, 1995, or incurred and paid by the State prior to March 31, 1996.

"Past Site-Specific Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, all types of costs described in the definition of Basin-wide Response Costs, e.g. payroll costs, above, that the United States incurred and paid with regard to the Burbank Operable Unit Site prior to September 30, 1995 or that the State incurred and paid prior to March 31, 1996.

"Performance Standards" shall mean those operation and maintenance standards, standards of control, and other substantive requirements, criteria or limitations set forth in

		i
•		
		,

the ROD, the First Consent Decree or this Consent Decree, the 1 Second Stage Statement of Work, Appendix 4 to this Consent Decree, and any work plan established pursuant to the First Consent Decree or this Consent Decree. In the event of any conflict between the First Consent Decree and this Consent Decree, or between any work plan established pursuant to the First Consent Decree or this Consent Decree as to the Performance Standards that apply to the O&M Activities, this Consent Decree or the work plan established pursuant to this Consent Decree shall control.

"Plaintiffs" shall mean the United States and the State of California DTSC.

"Plant Facilities" shall mean all parts of the infrastructure necessary to carry out the Burbank Operable Unit interim remedy, as constructed pursuant to the First Consent Decree and UAO 92-12, including without limitation the extraction wellfield, treatment plant, disinfection facility, booster station, blending water interconnection and pipeline, connecting pipelines for extraction wells to treatment plant, and Blending Facility.

"Regional Water Quality Control Board" or "RWQCB" shall mean the California pollution control agency and any successor agencies or departments of the State, which performs ongoing source investigation and source control work in the San Fernando Valley Basin pursuant to a cooperative agreement between EPA and the State Water Resources Control Board.

27 AUGUST 5, 1996 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

"RCRA" shall mean the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901 et seq. (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act).

"Record of Decision" or "ROD" shall mean the EPA Record of Decision relating to the Burbank Operable Unit, signed on June 30, 1989, by the Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX, and all attachments thereto, as modified by EPA's ESD1 and ESD2.

Released Parties shall mean Settling Defendants and their Difficers, directors, employees and agents, and where the Settling Defendant is a trustee, its successor trustees appointed to carry out the purposes of said trust; and where the Settling Defendant is a corporate entity, its corporate successors to potential liability for the Site. "Released Parties" shall also mean the following named entities associated with Lockheed Martin, and the named entities described in Appendix 1 as Released Parties related to one or more of the other Settling Defendants.

Released Parties Associated with Lockheed Martin: [to be added]

"Remedial Action" or "Remedial Action Work" shall mean those activities, except for Operation and Maintenance, to be undertaken or which have been undertaken by any of the Settling Defendants to implement the final plans and specifications submitted by certain of the Settling Defendants pursuant to the Remedial Design Work Plan under the First Consent Decree or the UAO Remedial Design Work Plan under UAO 92-12 and approved by EPA.

AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

"Remedial Action Work Plan" shall mean the document submitted by certain of the Settling Defendants pursuant to the Statement of Work, Appendix D to the First Consent Decree.

"Remedial Design" shall mean those activities which were undertaken by certain of the Settling Defendants pursuant to the Statement of Work ("SOW"), Appendix D to the First Consent Decree, to develop the final plans and specifications for the Remedial Action pursuant to the Remedial Design Statement of Work, or pursuant to the Work Schedule, Appendix A to UAO 92-12, to develop the final plans and specifications for the blending facility.

"Remedial Design Statement of Work" or "SOW" shall mean the document appended as Appendix D to the First Consent Decree.

"Remedial Design Work Plan" shall mean the work plan prepared by certain of the Settling Defendants pursuant to the SOW, Appendix D to the First Consent Decree, to describe the final plans and specifications for the Remedial Action.

"Second Consent Decree Trust Account" pertains to the trust account which Lockheed Martin shall be required to establish pursuant to Section XIV (Funding of Response Activities), Paragraph C of this Consent Decree.

"Second Stage Operation and Maintenance Work Plan" or
"Second Stage O&M Work Plan" shall mean the document prepared
pursuant to Section VI of this Consent Decree (Performance of the
Work), which shall describe certain Settling Defendants'
obligations to operate and maintain, and to dismantle,

decommission or otherwise dispose of the Plant Facilities.

"Second Stage Statement of Work" or "Second Stage SOW" shall mean the statement of work for implementation of the O&M Activities attached as Appendix 4 to this Consent Decree.

"Section" shall mean a portion of this Consent Decree or the First Consent Decree identified by a roman numeral.

"Settling Cash Defendants" shall mean those Settling
Defendants who have funded, in whole or in part, the Second
Consent Decree Trust Account described in Section XIV (Funding of
Response Activities), via a settlement with Lockheed Martin in
the action Lockheed Martin Corporation v. Crane Company et al.,
United States District Court, Central District of California,
Case No. CV 94 2717 MRP (Tx). This term includes each of the UAO
Parties.

"Settling Defendants" shall mean Lockheed Martin, Settling Cash Defendants and Settling Work Defendant.

"Settling Work Defendant" shall mean the Settling Defendant that is obligated to perform the Operation and Maintenance Activities pursuant to this Consent Decree, except as to Design Defects as provided in Section VI (Performance of the Work) and Section XIV (Funding Obligations), Paragraph M (Funding Obligation for Design Defects). The City of Burbank is the sole Settling Work Defendant pursuant to this Consent Decree.

"Site" shall mean the areal extent of hazardous substance groundwater contamination that is presently located in the vicinity of the Burbank Well Field and includes any areas to

which and from which such hazardous substance groundwater contamination migrates.

"State" shall mean the State of California, the California Environmental Protection Agency and the Department of Toxic Substances Control and any successor agencies or departments of the State.

"State Water Resources Control Board" or "SWRCB" shall mean the California pollution control agency and any successor agencies or departments of the State, with which EPA has entered into a series of cooperative agreements for the ongoing source identification and source control in the Basin conducted by the RWOCB.

"Statement of Work" or "SOW" shall mean the statement of work for implementation of the Remedial Action, and the first two years of Operation and Maintenance at the Site, as set forth in Appendix D to the First Consent Decree and any modifications made pursuant to the First Consent Decree.

"Supervising Contractor" shall mean the principal contractor retained or otherwise selected by the Settling Work Defendant, and approved by EPA, to 1) develop the Second Stage O&M Work Plan; 2) prepare the Project Time Line and Staffing Plan required by Section VI, Paragraph C.7 of this Consent Decree; 3) prepare bid documents to select the O&M Contractor; and 4) conduct periodic oversight, including engineering oversight of the O&M Contractor, and submit reports on such periodic oversight to EPA.

"UAO 92-12" shall mean the unilateral administrative order

1 |

executed by EPA on March 26, 1992 as amended by a letter of April 28, 1992, from Jeffrey Zelikson to the UAO Parties, appended as Exhibit 2 to this Consent Decree.

"UAO Parties" shall mean the Respondents as defined in Section VII.V of UAO 92-12: Aeroquip Corporation, Crane Company, Inc., Janco Corporation, Sargent Industries, Incorporated, Antonini Family Trust, and Ocean Technology, Incorporated.

"UAO Remedial Action Work Plan" shall mean the document submitted by certain of the Settling Defendants pursuant to Attachment A to UAO 92-12.

"UAO Remedial Design" shall mean those activities which were undertaken by the recipients of UAO 92-12 to develop the final plans and specifications for the blending facility portion of the Remedial Action pursuant to Attachment A to UAO 92-12.

"UAO Remedial Design Statement of Work" or "UAO SOW" shall mean the remedial design document prepared by the recipients of UAO 92-12 and submitted pursuant to Attachment A to UAO 92-12.

"UAO Remedial Design Work" shall mean the activities to be undertaken by the UAO Parties as defined in Section VII.T of UAO 92-12.

"UAO Remedial Design Work Plan" shall mean the work plan prepared by the recipients of the UAO Parties pursuant to the Work Schedule, Appendix A to UAO 92-12, to describe the final plans and specifications for the transmission line and blending facility.

"Upstream Facilities" pertains to all facilities designed

AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

and constructed by Lockheed Martin pursuant to the First Consent Decree and modifications thereto, and to additional facilities which may be constructed pursuant to this Consent Decree upstream of the Blending Facility as originally constructed by the UAO Parties pursuant to UAO 92-12. "Upstream" pertains to the flow of extracted, treated groundwater beginning with its extraction from the aquifer and generally concluding with the Point of Delivery as "Point of Delivery" is defined in the First Consent Decree.

"United States" shall mean the United States of America.

"Waste Material" shall mean (1) any "hazardous substance" under Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14); (2) any pollutant or contaminant under Section 101(33), 42 U.S.C. § 9601(33); (3) any "solid waste" under Section 1004(27) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6903(27); and (4) any "hazardous material" under California Health & Safety Code Section 25100 et seq.

"Working Day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday or Federal or State holiday.

V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

A. Purpose.

The purposes of this Consent Decree are to protect public health or welfare or the environment at the Site by the implementation of response actions at the Site, to reimburse part of the Plaintiffs' response costs related to the Site, and to resolve amicably the claims asserted against Settling Defendants in the underlying complaints filed in this matter.

B. Commitments by Settling Defendants.

- 1. Lockheed Martin, the City of Burbank, the UAO
 Parties and the other Settling Cash Defendants shall finance
 and/or perform the O&M Activities and other obligations, if any,
 described in Sections VI, (Performance of the Work), VII
 (Additional Response Actions), VIII (EPA Periodic Review) and XIV
 (Funding of Response Activities) herein in accordance with this
 Consent Decree and all plans, standards, specifications, and
 schedules set forth in or developed or approved by EPA pursuant
 to this Consent Decree. Lockheed Martin shall also reimburse the
 United States and the State for Past and Future Site-specific and
 Past Basin-wide Response Costs as provided in Section XVII of
 this Consent Decree (Reimbursement of Response Costs).
- 2. The obligations of Lockheed Martin, the City of Burbank, the UAO Parties and the other Settling Cash Defendants to finance and/or to perform the O&M Activities, and other obligations, if any, and to pay amounts owed to the United States and the State under this Consent Decree are several, except with respect to the UAO Parties' obligation to fund response actions pursuant to Section XIV (Funding of Response Activities), Paragraph M, which are is joint and several.
 - C. Compliance With Applicable Law.

All response activities undertaken by any Settling
Defendants pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be performed in
accordance with the requirements of all applicable Federal and
State laws and regulations. Settling Defendants who perform

response activities also shall comply with all applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements of all Federal and State environmental laws as set forth in the ROD, the Explanations of Significant Differences, the SOW, the First Consent Decree, this Consent Decree, and any deliverables developed or approved by EPA under the First Consent Decree, UAO 92-12 or this Consent Decree. The activities conducted in accordance with this Consent Decree shall be considered to be consistent with the NCP.

D. Permits.

1 |

- 1. As provided in Section 121(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(e) and Section 300.5 of the NCP, no permit shall be required for any portion of the O&M Activities conducted entirely on-site. Where any portion of the O&M Activities requires a Federal or State permit or approval, Settling Work Defendant shall submit timely and complete applications and take all other reasonable actions necessary to obtain all such permits or approvals. Nothing in this Paragraph shall require the City of Burbank to exercise condemnation, eminent domain, or similar powers or authorities.
- 2. Settling Work Defendant may seek relief under the provisions of Section XIX (Force Majeure) of this Consent Decree for any delay in the performance of the O&M Activities resulting from a failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, any permit required for the O&M Activities.
- 3. This Consent Decree is not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any Federal or State

statute or regulation.

- E. Notice of Obligations to Successors-in-Title.
- 1. The obligations of each Owner Settling Defendant with respect to the properties identified in Appendix 2 to this Consent Decree, and the provision of access under Section X (Access) shall be binding upon such Owner Settling Defendant and any and all persons who subsequently acquire any fee ownership interest in such property or portion thereof within the Site owned by the Owner Settling Defendant (hereinafter "Successors-in-Title").
- 2. In the event of any such conveyance of such fee ownership or portion thereof, each such Owner Settling Defendant's obligations under this Consent Decree, including its obligations to provide or secure access pursuant to Section X, shall continue to be met by such Owner Settling Defendant. In no event shall the conveyance of an interest in property that includes, or is a portion of, the Site release or otherwise affect the liability of such Owner Settling Defendant to comply with the Consent Decree.
- 3. Any Owner Settling Defendant and any Successor-in-Title shall, at least thirty (30) days prior to the conveyance of any such interest, give written notice of this Consent Decree to the grantee. No later than thirty (30) days after the conveyance of any such interest, such Owner Settling Defendant or Successor-in-Title shall give written notice to EPA and the State of the conveyance, including the name and address of the grantee, and

AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

the date on which notice of the Consent Decree was given to the grantee, and evidence such action by providing a copy of its notice to the grantee.

- F. The obligation to provide notice pursuant to this Section shall terminate upon issuance of the Certification of Completion pursuant to Section XV (Certification of Completion) of this Consent Decree.
- In lieu of the provisions of Paragraph E of this Section, the City shall, at least thirty (30) days prior to the conveyance of any such interest in the real property it owns at 164 West Magnolia Boulevard in the City of Burbank, give written notice of this Consent Decree to the grantee. No later than thirty (30) days after such conveyance, the City shall give written notice to EPA and the State of such conveyance, including the name and address of the grantee, and the date on which notice of the Consent Decree was given to the grantee, and evidence such action by providing a copy of its notice to the grantee. event of any such conveyance, the City's obligations under this Consent Decree shall continue to be met by the City. In no event shall the conveyance of an interest in the property release or otherwise affect the liability of the City to comply with the Consent Decree. Any Successor-in-Title to the real property at 164 West Magnolia boulevard shall be bound by the provisions of Paragraph E.1 through E.3 of this Section.

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

A. <u>Selection of Supervising Contractor</u>.

All aspects of the O&M Activities to be performed by Settling Work Defendant pursuant to Sections VI (Performance of the Work), VII (Additional Response Actions), VIII (U.S. EPA Periodic Review), and IX (Quality Assurance, Sampling and Data Analysis) of this Consent Decree shall be under the direction and supervision of the Supervising Contractor, the selection of which shall be subject to disapproval by EPA after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State. Within one hundred and eighty (180) days after the entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Work Defendant shall notify EPA and the State in writing of the name, title, and qualifications of any contractor proposed to be the Supervising Contractor. Settling Work Defendant may submit a list of contractors for pre-qualification prior to engaging in any bidding process. Settling Work Defendant may also propose to directly serve in the role of Supervising Contractor, subject to EPA's review and approval. EPA will issue a notice of approval or disapproval of the Supervising Contractor. Upon its approval of the Supervising Contractor, EPA will issue an authorization to proceed. any time thereafter, Settling Work Defendant proposes to change a Supervising Contractor, Settling Work Defendant shall give such notice to EPA and the State and must obtain an authorization to proceed from EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, before the new Supervising Contractor

27 AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

performs, directs, supervises or implements any O&M Activities under this Consent Decree. In addition, if the Supervising Contractor proposes to subcontract any portion of the supervision, direction or implementation of the O&M Activities under this Consent Decree, Settling Work Defendant shall give such notice to EPA and the State and must obtain an authorization to proceed from EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, before the subcontractor supervises, directs, or implements any O&M Activities under this Consent Decree.

- 2. If EPA disapproves a proposed Supervising
 Contractor, EPA will notify Settling Work Defendant in writing.
 Settling Work Defendant shall submit to EPA and the State a list of contractors, including the qualifications of each contractor, that would be acceptable to it within thirty (30) days of receipt of EPA's disapproval of the contractor previously proposed. EPA will provide written notice of the names of any contractor(s) that it disapproves and an authorization to proceed with respect to any of the other contractors. Settling Work Defendant may select any contractor from that list that is not disapproved and shall notify EPA and the State of the name of the contractor selected within twenty-one (21) days of EPA's authorization to proceed.
- 3. If EPA fails to provide written notice of its approval, authorization to proceed or disapproval as provided in this Paragraph and this failure prevents Settling Work Defendant

from meeting one or more deadlines pursuant to this Consent Decree, Settling Work Defendant may seek relief under the provisions of Section XIX (Force Majeure) hereof.

B. <u>Selection of O&M Contractor</u>.

11

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1. The day-to-day conduct of the O&M Activities will be performed by the O&M Contractor as defined in Section IV (Definitions) of this Consent Decree. The selection of the O&M Contractor shall be subject to disapproval by EPA after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State. Within one hundred and eighty (180) days after the System Operation Date for Phase Two of the Work as specified in the First Consent Decree, Settling Work Defendant shall notify .EPA and the State in writing of the name, title and qualifications of any contractor proposed to be the O&M Contractor. EPA will issue a notice of approval or disapproval. Upon issuance of a notice of approval, EPA shall issue an authorization to proceed. any time thereafter, Settling Work Defendant proposes to change the O&M Contractor, Settling Work Defendant shall give such notice to EPA and the State and must obtain an authorization to proceed from EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, before the new O&M Contractor performs, directs, supervises or implements any O&M Activities under this In addition, if the O&M Contractor proposes to Consent Decree. subcontract any portion of O&M Activities under this Consent Decree, Settling Work Defendant shall give such notice to EPA and the State and must obtain an authorization to proceed from EPA,

AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, before the subcontractor supervises, directs, or implements any O&M Activities under this Consent Decree.

- 2. EPA's approval or disapproval of Settling Work
 Defendant's selection of an O&M Contractor shall be governed by
 the procedures set forth in Section VI (Performance of the Work),
 Paragraphs A.2 and A.3 of this Consent Decree.
 - C. Completion of the Response Action.

- 1. Under Section VII of the First Consent Decree,
 Lockheed Martin, Weber and the City of Burbank submitted to EPA,
 inter alia, a work plan for the Remedial Design ("Remedial Design
 Work Plan"), a work plan for the Remedial Action at the Site
 ("Remedial Action Work Plan") and a plan for the first two years
 of the Operation & Maintenance ("O&M Plan") of the interim
 remedy. The Remedial Design, Remedial Action and O&M Work Plans
 provided for design and implementation of part of the remedy set
 forth in the ROD in accordance with the SOW and, upon approval by
 EPA, were incorporated into and became enforceable under the
 First Consent Decree. Under Section VII, Paragraph H.1 of the
 First Consent Decree, the City of Burbank agreed to accept the
 treated, blended groundwater for distribution to the public water
 supply.
- 2. Lockheed Martin, Weber and the City of Burbank are performing their obligations under the First Consent Decree.

 Unless otherwise stated in this Consent Decree, these parties' obligations under the First Consent Decree are not altered in any

manner by this Consent Decree.

- 3. Under Section X of UAO 92-12, the UAO Parties were required to submit, inter alia, a Remedial Design Work Plan and Remedial Action Work Plan for the design, construction and operation of the belending fracility component of the burbank Operable Unit interim remedy.
- 4. The UAO Parties are performing their obligations under UAO 92-12. Unless otherwise stated in this Consent Decree, these parties' obligations under UAO 92-12 are not altered in any manner by this Consent Decree. The UAO Parties agree to perform and complete their obligations under UAO 92-12.
- 5. Settling Work Defendant shall begin conducting the Operation and Maintenance of the Plant Facilities, beginning on the Date of Commencement and concluding upon EPA's issuance of a Certification of Completion in accordance with Section XV (Certification of Completion) of this Consent Decree.

 Specifically, Settling Work Defendant shall operate and maintain the Plant Facilities and monitor the effectiveness of such facilities, for the duration of the time required by the ROD.
- 6. Lockheed Martin shall perform all work necessary to dismantle and decommission the facilities constructed under the First Consent Decree and UAO 92-12 unless EPA determines that dismantling and/or decommissioning is not required.
- 7. As provided in Section XIV (Funding Obligations), Paragraph M, Lockheed Martin shall fund the O&M Activities for the Upstream Facilities and any response activities required

3

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

because of a Design Defect in the Upstream Facilities. As is 1 also provided in Section XIV (Funding Obligations), Paragraph C, the Settling Cash Defendants shall fund the Second Consent Decree Trust Account according to their respective shares as set forth in Appendix 6 to this Consent Decree, under seal, and the UAO 5 6 Parties also shall fund any response activities required because 7 of a Design Defect in any of the facilities constructed under UAO 92-12. The City of Burbank shall fund the operation and 8 maintenance of the Downstream Facilities except insofar as the 9 10 UAO Parties may be required to fund such activities because of a 11 Design Defect.

8. Within one year after this Consent Decree is entered by the Court, Settling Work Defendant shall submit to EPA:

a. An O&M Second Stage Work Plan describing in detail the tasks to be performed to operate and maintain the Plant Facilities.

b. A Staffing Plan indicating lines of responsibility and communication for day-to-day operations, and designating the person or persons responsible for oversight of the O&M affectivities on behalf of Settling Work Defendant. Such person or persons may be a member or members of Settling Work Defendant's staff or a member of Settling Work Defendant's Supervising or O&M Contractors. Settling Work Defendant shall also designate a single contact for communications with EPA for the O&M Activities from the date of entry of this Consent Decree

through completion of the Remedial Action.

c. A Time Line and Schedule describing the timing of the tasks of the O&M Activities, including any transitions to take place between the first two years of O&M after Phase II of the remedy is operational and the Date of Commencement.

entered by the Court, the Settling Work Defendant shall submit to EPA an O&M Second Stage Work Plan describing in detail the tasks to be performed to operate and maintain the Plant Facilities.

- D. Settling Defendants acknowledge and agree that nothing in the First Consent Decree, this Consent Decree, the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or in any plan approved pursuant to the First Consent Decree or this Consent Decree constitutes a warranty or representation of any kind by Plaintiffs that compliance with the work requirements set forth in the O&M Second Stage Work Plan and completion of the O&M Activities will achieve the Performance Standards. Settling Work Defendant's compliance with the requirements of Section VI (Performance of the Work) shall not foreclose Plaintiffs from seeking achievement of all requirements of the ROD including, but not limited to, the applicable Performance Standards.
- E. Settling Work Defendant shall, prior to any off-Site shipment of Waste Material from the Site to an out-of-state waste management facility, provide written notification to the appropriate State environmental official in the receiving facility's State and to the EPA Project Coordinator of such

shipment of Waste Material. However, this notification requirement shall not apply to any off-Site shipments when the total volume of all such shipments will not exceed 10 cubic yards.

- 1. The Settling Work Defendant shall include in the written notification the following information, where available:
 (1) the name and location of the facility to which the Waste Material(s) are to be shipped; (2) the type and quantity of the Waste Material to be shipped; (3) the expected schedule for the shipment of the Waste Material; and (4) the method of transportation. The Settling Work Defendant shall notify the State in which the planned receiving facility is located of major changes in the shipment plan, such as a decision to ship the Waste Material to another facility within the same state, or to a facility in another state.
- 2. The Settling Work Defendant shall provide the information required by this Section, Paragraph 8.1 as soon as practicable and before the Waste Material is actually shipped.

F. Miscellaneous Standards of Control.

1. Settling Work Defendant may discharge extracted water to any offsite conveyance(s) leading to any Publicly Owned Treatment Works ("POTW") or to any offsite conveyance(s) leading to any water(s) of the United States for a period of up to five (not necessarily consecutive) days during any month, if the water is not accepted by the City and cannot be vended, provided that the following requirements are met for such discharge:

27 AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

- 1 2
- 3
- 5

discharged;

- 6 7
- 8

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

- All substantive and procedural requirements applicable to such discharge at the time of such discharge shall be met, including any limits on the quantity of water to be
- The total combined amount of any discharge(s) b. of extracted water to any offsite conveyance(s) leading to any POTW(s) at any time shall not exceed 6,000 gpm; and
- The total combined amount of extracted water discharged to any offsite conveyance(s) leading to any POTW(s) and to any offsite conveyance(s) leading to any water(s) of the United States at any time shall not exceed 9,000 gpm. Nothing in this Paragraph shall excuse Settling Work Defendant
- from stipulated penalties for failure to comply with any other requirements of this Decree.
- Settling Work Defendant may discharge development and purge water from wells to any offsite conveyance(s) leading to a Publicly Owned Treatment Works ("POTW") or to any offsite conveyance(s) leading to any water(s) of the United States, provided that any such discharge is in compliance with all substantive and procedural requirements applicable to such discharge at the time of such discharge. Water discharged pursuant to this Paragraph F.2 shall not be included in the limits on the amount of water allowed to be discharged pursuant to Paragraph F.1. of this Section.
- Any water containing hazardous constituents and stored onsite for more than ninety days shall be handled as a hazardous waste onsite. Such storage shall be accomplished in AUGUST 5, 1996

compliance with the substantive requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264, Subparts I and J, and 22 California Code of Regulations, Chapter 30, Article 24 ("Use and Management of Containers") and Article 25 ("Tank Systems"). These requirements are applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements for the O&M Activities.

- 4. With respect to requirements for the operation of the groundwater treatment plant's VOC-stripper (i.e., air stripper with vapor phase granulated activated carbon absorption units), South Coast Air Quality Management District ("SCAQMD") Rule 1167 was rescinded in December of 1988 and Settling Work Defendant is not required to comply with this Rule despite any other language in this Decree. Furthermore, some of the regulations cited in the ROD have been changed by the SCAQMD. The only requirements of the SCAQMD that Settling Work Defendant is required to comply with in performing Work onsite are the substantive requirements of the following applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements for the groundwater Treatment Plant (i.e., air stripper with vapor phase granulated activated carbon ("GAC") absorption units):
- a. SCAQMD Regulation XIII, as amended through June 28, 1990; and
 - b. SCAQMD Rule 1401, as adopted on June 1, 1990.
- G. System Operation Minimum Standards. The Work to be performed shall achieve the Performance Standards as defined in Section IV of this Consent Decree (Definitions) and shall, at a minimum, achieve the following standards during system operation:
- 1. All groundwater to be extracted shall be treated by AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

Settling Work Defendant to a level such that the following chemicals do not exceed their respective MCL:

Chemical

MCL

PCE

5.0 micrograms/liter

TCE

5.0 micrograms/liter

- 2. All treated groundwater shall be disinfected and then blended by the Settling Work Defendant to meet all legal requirements for introduction of the blended water into the City's water supply system, including, but not limited to, the MCL for nitrate.
- 3. Settling Work Defendant shall operate and maintain the facilities it is required to operate and maintain in such a way as to ensure that exceedence of the drinking water standards promulgated and in effect on the date of delivery (other than the MCL for nitrate), regardless of when any such standards were promulgated, shall result in the immediate, and, in all cases where possible, automatic shut-down of the groundwater treatment plant and water delivery system. Such a shut-down shall not, in and of itself, release Settling Work Defendant from any other requirement of this Decree and specifically shall not, in and of itself, affect the requirement that Settling Work Defendant pays stipulated penalties for failure to extract and deliver water in the amounts and of the quality required by Paragraphs G.3 and H.1 of this Section, respectively, where such failure is due to any cause other than a Design Defect or a construction defect.
 - H. Extraction Requirements.
- 1. The Settling Work Defendant shall extract and treat AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

an annual average of 9,000 g.p.m. of contaminated groundwater 1 except as otherwise provided in this Section. Settling Work Defendant shall purvey all treated groundwater which satisfies the treatment standards established by Paragraphs G and H of this Section up to an amount which, when blended with the blending water, will meet the City's Water Demand (as defined in the Second Stage Statement of Work) without resulting in a nitrate concentration in the blended water that exceeds the promulgated MCL for nitrate in effect at that time; provided however that, in order to maximize the Settling Work Defendant's use of treated groundwater while providing a margin of safety in achieving compliance with the MCL for nitrate, the Settling Work Defendant shall be deemed to be in compliance with this Paragraph if it:

- Achieves at all times a level of nitrate in the blended water which is no greater than eighty-nine percent (89%) of the promulgated MCL for nitrate that is in effect at the time of the blending;
- b. Extracts contaminated groundwater at an annual average rate of 9,000 g.p.m. at all times when the nitrate level in the extracted groundwater does not exceed 50 mg/l as nitrate; and
- Maximizes the use of the extracted groundwater to the degree possible when the nitrate level in the extracted groundwater exceeds 50 mg/l as nitrate.
- Notwithstanding the requirements of Paragraph H.1 of this Section, the Settling Work Defendant shall not be charged a stipulated penalty for failure to meet a nitrate level

specified in that Paragraph except where the nitrate concentrations of the blended water exceed the promulgated MCL for nitrate in effect at the time of the blending.

- 3. Settling Work Defendant shall maximize the amount of extraction from the Phase I and Phase II extraction wells and shall preferentially extract groundwater from these wells to meet its Water Demand as limited by the amount of water the Settling Work Defendant is required to accept pursuant to Paragraph H.1 of this Section.
- 4. Settling Work Defendant shall extract, treat and use its best efforts to vend or discharge, in compliance with Paragraphs F and G of this Section, additional groundwater such that the total amount of water extracted, treated and then delivered by the Settling Work Defendant, vended or discharged equals or exceeds 9,000 g.p.m. on an annual average. Extraction from the City's liquid phase GAC wellfield located at 164 West Magnolia Street, Burbank, California, may be counted towards Settling Work Defendant's achievement of the 9,000 g.p.m. annual average extraction requirement. Settling Work Defendant shall be subject to stipulated penalties if it fails to achieve the 9,000 g.p.m. annual average extraction requirement, unless such failure is due to nitrate levels in the extracted groundwater which exceed 50 mg/l as nitrate.
- I. Settling Work Defendant shall not be obligated to meet the requirements of this Section, Paragraph H.1 if a new drinking water standard is promulgated after August 1, 1996, this Consent Decree is entered, EPA has identified such standard as applicable AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

or relevant and appropriate for the treated groundwater and necessary to protect public health or the environment and such standard cannot be met without modifying the facilities constructed pursuant to Section VII, Subpart A of the First Consent Decree or changing their operation.

VII. ADDITIONAL RESPONSE ACTIONS

. 3

- A. In the event that EPA determines or the Settling Work Defendant proposes that additional response actions are necessary to meet the Performance Standards or to carry out the interim remedy selected in the ROD, notification of such additional response actions shall be provided to EPA and to each of the Settling Defendants.
- B. Within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice from EPA or Settling Work Defendant pursuant to Paragraph A of this Section that additional response actions are necessary (or such longer time as may be specified by EPA), Settling Work Defendant shall submit for approval by EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, a work plan for the additional response actions. The plan shall conform to the applicable requirements under law or EPA guidance. Upon approval of the plan pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval), Settling Work Defendant shall implement the plan for additional response actions in accordance with the schedule contained therein.
- C. Any additional response actions that Settling Work

 Defendant proposes are necessary to meet the Performance

 Standards or to carry out the interim remedy selected in the ROD

 AUGUST 5, 1996

 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

shall be subject to approval by EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, and, if authorized by EPA, shall be completed by Settling Work Defendant in accordance with plans, specifications, and schedules approved or established by EPA pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval).

.9

- D. Any Settling Defendant required to fund, perform, or operate and maintain completed additional response actions may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) to dispute EPA's determination that additional response actions are necessary to meet the Performance Standards or to carry out the interim remedy selected in the ROD. Such a dispute shall be resolved pursuant to Section XX (Dispute Resolution), Paragraph F of this Consent Decree.
- E. The United States and the State reserve all rights against Settling Defendants, pursuant to Paragraph E of Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), if any new requirement(s) are promulgated or if any requirement(s) promulgated on or before the Effective Date of this Consent Decree as defined in Section XXVIII (Effective Date) subsequently are changed and such requirement(s) are determined by EPA to be both (a) applicable or relevant or appropriate and (b) necessary to insure that the interim remedy is protective of human health and the environment and such standard cannot be met without modifying the facilities to be constructed pursuant to the First CD or UAO 92-12 or changing their operation.
- F. If EPA determines that reinjection capacity is necessary AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

for the remedy to meet the Performance Standards or to protect human health or the environment, the development of such capacity shall not be considered an Additional Response Action under this Section. The United States and the State reserve all rights against Settling Defendants as provided in Paragraph E of Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs) concerning installation of such capacity.

VIII. EPA PERIODIC REVIEW

- A. Settling Work Defendant shall conduct any studies and investigations as requested by EPA in order to permit EPA to conduct reviews at least every five years as required by Section 121(c), 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c) of CERCLA and any applicable regulations.
- B. Settling Defendants and, if required by Sections 113(k)(2) or 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9613(k)(2) or 9617, the public will be provided with an opportunity to comment on any further response actions proposed by EPA as a result of the review conducted pursuant to Section 121(c), of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), and to submit written comments for the record during the public comment period. After the period for submission of written comments is closed, the Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX, or his/her delegate will determine in writing whether further response actions are appropriate.
- C. The United States reserves the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel Settling Defendants or any of them (1) to perform further response actions relating to the AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

Site or (2) to reimburse the United States for additional costs of response if the Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX, or his/her delegate determines that information received, in whole or in part, during the review conducted pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), indicates that the Remedial Action or the O&M Activities are not protective of human health or the environment.

IX. OUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, and DATA ANALYSIS

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Settling Work Defendant shall use quality assurance, quality control, and chain of custody procedures for all treatability, design, compliance and monitoring samples in accordance with EPA's "Interim Guidelines and Specifications For Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans, " December 1980, (QAMS-005/80); "Data Quality Objective Guidance," (EPA/540/G87/003 and 004); "EPA NEIC Policies and Procedures Manual," May 1978, revised November 1984, (EPA 330/9-78-001-R); and subsequent amendments to such guidelines upon notification by EPA to Settling Work Defendant of such amendment. Amended guidelines shall apply only to procedures conducted after such notification. Prior to the commencement of any monitoring project under this Consent Decree, Settling Work Defendant shall submit to EPA for approval, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, a Quality Assurance Project Plan ("QAPP") to EPA and the State that is consistent with the O&M Second Stage Work Plan, the NCP and applicable guidance documents. If relevant to the proceeding, the Parties agree that validated sampling data generated in accordance with the QAPP(s) and reviewed and AUGUST 5, 1996

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

1 | approved by EPA shall be admissible as evidence, without objection, in any proceeding under this Consent Decree. Settling Work Defendant shall ensure that EPA and State personnel and their authorized representatives are allowed access at reasonable times to all laboratories utilized by Settling Work Defendant in implementing this Consent Decree. In addition, Settling Work Defendant shall ensure that such laboratories shall analyze all samples submitted by EPA pursuant to the QAPP for quality assurance monitoring. Settling Work Defendant shall ensure that the laboratories it utilizes for the analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Consent Decree perform all analyses according to accepted EPA methods. Accepted EPA methods consist of those methods which are documented in the "Contract Lab Program Statement of Work for Inorganic Analysis" and the "Contract Lab Program Statement of Work for Organic Analysis," dated February 1988, and any amendments made thereto during the course of the implementation of this Consent Decree. Settling Work Defendant shall ensure that all laboratories it uses for analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Consent Decree participate in an EPA or EPA-equivalent QA/QC program.

Upon request, Settling Work Defendant shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by EPA and the State or their authorized representatives. Settling Work Defendant shall include in the O&M Second Stage Work Plan a schedule of routine, pre-scheduled sampling events, for example those required by the California Department of Health Services under the operating permit for the Burbank OU groundwater/drinking water treatment AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

facilities, or under existing regulations. As regulations or permit conditions change and affect this schedule, Settling Work Defendant shall submit revised schedules as amendments to the O&M Second Stage Work Plan. For non-routine, non-emergency sampling events, for example an unscheduled performance evaluation study of the treatment plant, Settling Work Defendant shall notify EPA and the State not less than fourteen (14) days in advance of any sample collection activity unless shorter notice is agreed to by EPA. In addition, EPA and the State shall have the right to take any additional samples that EPA or the State deem necessary. Upon request, EPA and the State shall allow any Settling Defendant to take split or duplicate samples of any samples it takes as part of either Plaintiff's oversight of the implementation of the O&M activities.

- C. Settling Work Defendant shall submit to EPA two (2)three (3) copies each of the results of all sampling and/or tests performed, or data gathered pursuant to the implementation of this Consent Decree unless EPA agrees otherwise. Such results and other data may be submitted as part of the progress reports required pursuant to Paragraph A.1 of Section XI (Reporting Requirements). EPA will provide to Settling Work Defendant's Project Coordinator results of analyses conducted by EPA pursuant to Section IX, (Quality Assurance, Sampling and Data Analysis), Paragraph B of this Consent Decree.
- D. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree, the United States and the State hereby retain all of their information gathering and inspection authorities and rights,

including enforcement actions related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA and any other applicable statutes or regulations.

E. Settling Work Defendant may deviate from EPA guidance on Quality Assurance/Quality Control ("QA/QC") as referenced in Section I, Paragraph A of this Consent Decree under the following circumstances. For compliance monitoring required under Federal and/or State drinking water regulations, Settling Work Defendant may follow QA/QC procedures required under those regulations so long as EPA determines that such procedures are equally protective of human health and the environment as EPA QA/QC procedures.

X. ACCESS

- A. Commencing upon the date of entry of this Consent Decree and terminating upon issuance of a final ROD for the Site, the Owner Settling Defendants agree to provide the United States, the State, and their representatives, including EPA and its contractors, access at all reasonable times to real property to which EPA informs such Owner Settling Defendants access is required for the implementation of this Consent Decree, to the extent access to the property is controlled by Owner Settling Defendants, for the purposes of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to:
 - a. Monitoring the O&M Activities;
- b. Verifying any data or information submitted to the United States;
- c. Conducting investigations relating to contamination at or near the Site;
- 28 AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

d. Obtaining samples;

б

- e. Assessing the need for, planning, or implementing additional response actions at or near the Site;
- f. Inspecting and copying records, operating logs, contracts, or other documents maintained or generated by Settling Defendants or their agents, pursuant to Section XXV (Access to Information); and
- g. Assessing Settling Defendants' compliance with this Consent Decree.
- B. Except to the extent Plaintiffs deem necessary to protect human health or the environment, Plaintiffs will provide the affected Settling Defendant with twenty-four (24) hours notice prior to entry to properties accessed pursuant to this Consent Decree. In exercising their rights to access under this Paragraph, Plaintiffs shall to the extent practicable not unreasonably interfere with Settling Defendants' business or municipal activities. However, nothing in this Paragraph shall provide Settling Defendants with any claim or cause of action whatsoever against Plaintiffs, including without limitation any claim for injunctive relief. In addition, it shall not constitute an unreasonable interference for Plaintiffs to take any action they deem necessary to avoid endangerment to human health or the environment or to respond to an emergency.
- C. To the extent that any other real property to which access is required for the implementation of this Consent Decree is owned or controlled by persons other than Owner Settling Defendants, Settling Work Defendant shall use best efforts to AUGUST 5 1996

secure from such persons access for Settling Work Defendant, as 1 | 2 well as for the United States and the State and their representatives, including, but not limited to, their 3 4 contractors, as necessary to effectuate this Consent Decree. 5 purposes of this Paragraph, "best efforts" may include the 6 payment of reasonable sums of money in consideration of access. "Best efforts" does not include the exercise of eminent domain, 7 condemnation or similar authorities. Settling Defendants shall 8 9 coordinate and cooperate with Settling Work Defendant as 10 appropriate and necessary to obtain such access. If any access 11 required to effectuate this Consent Decree is not obtained within 12 forty-five (45) days of the date of lodging of this Consent 13 Decree, or within forty-five (45) days of the date EPA notifies 14 the Settling Work Defendant in writing that additional access 15 beyond that previously secured is necessary, Settling Work 16 Defendant shall promptly notify the United States, and shall 17 include in that notification a summary of the steps Settling Work 18 Defendant, or other Settling Defendants in coordination and 19 cooperation with Settling Work Defendant, have taken pursuant to 20 this Section to attempt to obtain access. The United States or the State may, as either deems appropriate, assist Settling Work 21 22 Defendant in obtaining access. Lockheed Martin shall reimburse the United States or the State, in accordance with the procedures 23 24 in Section XVII (Reimbursement of Response Costs), for all costs 25 incurred by the United States or the State in obtaining access 26 pursuant to this Section.

D. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent Decree,
AUGUST 5, 1996
BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

27

the United States and the State retain all of their access authorities and rights, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA and any other applicable statute or regulations.

XI. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In addition to any other requirement of this Consent A. Decree, Settling Work Defendant shall submit to EPA and the State, with the frequency described below, two (2) three (3) copies each of written progress reports that: (a) describe the actions which have been taken toward achieving compliance with this Consent Decree during the previous reporting period; (b) include a summary of all results of sampling and tests and all other data received or generated by Settling Work Defendant or its contractors or agents in the previous reporting period; (c) identify all work plans, plans and other deliverables required by this Consent Decree completed and submitted during the previous period; (d) describe all actions, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of work plans, which are scheduled for the subsequent two reporting periods, (e) include information regarding unresolved delays encountered or anticipated that may affect the future schedule for implementation of the O&M Activities, and a description of efforts made to mitigate those delays or anticipated delays; (f) include any modifications to the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other schedules that Settling Work Defendant has proposed to EPA or that have been approved by EPA; (g) describe all activities undertaken in support of the Community Relations Plan during the AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

1.0

period dating from the submission of the last progress report those to be undertaken prior to the submission of the next progress report, and h) report any out-of-state shipments of Waste Materials that occurred during the previous reporting period. Settling Work Defendant shall submit these progress reports to EPA with the frequency described below, commencing from the entry of this Consent Decree until EPA notifies the Settling Work Defendant pursuant to Paragraph B.2 of Section XV (Certification of Completion). If requested by EPA or the State, Settling Work Defendant shall also provide briefings for EPA and the State to discuss the progress of the Work.

- 1. The progress reports shall be submitted with the following frequency:
- a. Semi-annually from the date of entry of this Consent Decree until one year prior to the Date of Commencement;
- b. Quarterly during the year prior to the Date of Commencement;
- c. Monthly commencing with the Date of Commencement for a period of three years ("the Monthly Reporting Requirement").
- d. Quarterly from completion of the Monthly Reporting Requirement until EPA notifies the Settling Work Defendant pursuant to Paragraph B.2 of Section XV (Certification of Completion).
- The Settling Work Defendant shall notify EPA of any change in the schedule described in the progress reports for the performance of any activity, including, but not limited to, data collection and

implementation of work plans, no later than seven (7) days prior to the performance of the activity.

- B. Upon the occurrence of any event during performance of the O&M Activities that Settling Work Defendant is required to report pursuant to Section 103 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603, or Section 304 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-know Act (EPCRA), 42 U.S.C. § 11004, Settling Work Defendant shall within twenty-four (24) hours of the onset of such event orally notify the EPA Project Coordinator or the Alternate EPA Project Coordinator (in the event of the unavailability of the EPA Project Coordinator), or, in the event that neither the EPA Project Coordinator or Alternate EPA Project Coordinator is available, the Emergency Response Section, Region IX, United States Environmental Protection Agency. These reporting requirements are in addition to the reporting required by CERCLA Section 103, 42 U.S.C. § 9603 or EPCRA Section 304, 42 U.S.C. § 11004.
- C. Within twenty (20) days of the onset of such an event, Settling Work Defendant shall furnish to Plaintiffs a written report, signed by the Settling Work Defendant's Project Coordinator, setting forth the events which occurred and the measures taken, and to be taken, in response thereto. Within thirty (30) days of the conclusion of such an event, Settling Work Defendant shall submit a report setting forth all actions taken in response thereto.
- D. Settling Work Defendant shall submit two (2) three (3) copies of all plans, reports, and data required by the O&M Second AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

Stage Work Plan. Settling Work Defendant shall simultaneously submit two (2) three (3) copies of all such plans, reports and data to the State.

- E. All reports and other documents submitted by Settling Work Defendant to EPA (other than the progress reports referred to above) which purport to document Settling Work Defendant's compliance with the terms of this Consent Decree shall be signed by an authorized representative of the Settling Work Defendant.
- F. Settling Work Defendant shall immediately notify EPA of any MCL or State Action Level ("SAL") exceedences when such exceedences occur at a point of compliance as defined under Federal or State drinking water regulations.

XII. <u>SUBMISSIONS REQUIRING AGENCY APPROVAL</u>

- A. After review of the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other item which is required to be submitted for approval pursuant to this Consent Decree, EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, shall: (a) approve, in whole or in part, the submission; (b) approve the submission upon specified conditions; (c) modify the submission to cure the deficiencies; (d) disapprove, in whole or in part, the submission, directing that the Settling Work Defendant modify the submission; or (e) any combination of the above.
- B. In the event of approval, approval upon conditions, modification or partial disapproval by EPA, pursuant to this Section, Paragraph A (a), (b), (c) or (d), Settling Work Defendant shall proceed to take any action required by the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other item, as approved or modified by

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

EPA subject only to its right to invoke the Dispute Resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) with respect to the modifications or conditions made by EPA. However, in the event that EPA modifies the submission pursuant to this Section, Paragraph D, to cure continued deficiencies, and the submission has a material defect not cured upon resubmittal, EPA retains its right to impose stipulated penalties, as provided in Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties), retroactive to the date of the initial submittal.

Upon receipt of a notice of disapproval of a C. resubmitted O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other item, or portion thereof pursuant to this Section, Paragraph D, Settling Work Defendant shall, within fourteen (14) days or such other time as specified by EPA in such notice, correct the remaining deficiencies and resubmit the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other item for approval. Any disapproval by EPA shall include an explanation of why the deliverable is inadequate. If the resubmitted deliverable is inadequate, Settling Work Defendant shall be deemed to be in violation of this Consent Decree. stipulated penalties applicable to the submission, as provided in Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties), shall accrue during the fourteen-day (14-day) period or otherwise specified period but shall not be payable unless the resubmission is disapproved or modified due to a material defect as provided in this Section, Paragraph E.

Notwithstanding the receipt of an initial notice of disapproval pursuant to this Section, Paragraph A, D or E,

Settling Work Defendant shall proceed, at the direction of EPA, to take any action required by any non-deficient portion of the submission. Implementation of any non-deficient portion of a submission shall not relieve Settling Work Defendant of any liability for stipulated penalties under Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties).

- D. In the event that a resubmitted O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other item, or portion thereof, is disapproved by EPA, EPA may again require the Settling Work Defendant to correct the deficiencies, in accordance with the preceding Paragraphs. EPA also retains the right to amend or develop the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other item. Settling Work Defendant shall implement the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other item as amended or developed by EPA, subject only to its right to invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution).
- E. If upon resubmission, the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other item is disapproved or modified by EPA due to a material defect, Settling Work Defendant shall be deemed to have failed to submit the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other item timely and adequately unless Settling Work Defendant invokes the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) and EPA's action is overturned pursuant to that Section. The provisions of Section XX (Dispute Resolution) and Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties) shall govern the implementation of the O&M Activities and accrual and payment of any stipulated penalties during Dispute Resolution. If EPA's disapproval or modification is upheld, stipulated penalties shall AUGUST 5, 1996

accrue for such violation from the date on which the initial submission was originally required, as provided in this Section, Paragraph C.

- F. The O&M Second Stage Work Plan and other items required to be submitted to EPA under this Consent Decree shall, upon approval or modification by EPA, be enforceable under this Consent Decree. In the event EPA approves or modifies a portion of the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or other item required to be submitted to EPA under this Consent Decree, the approved or modified portion shall be enforceable under this Consent Decree.
- G. Items required to be submitted for approval by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree are set forth in the Second Stage Statement of Work, Appendix 4 to this Consent Decree.

XIII. PROJECT COORDINATORS

1 |

A. Within thirty (30) days of entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Work Defendant, Lockheed Martin, the UAO Parties, the State and EPA will notify each other, in writing, of the name, address and telephone number of their respective designated Project Coordinators and Alternate Project Coordinators. If a Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator initially designated is changed, the identity of the successor will be given to the other parties at least five (5) working days before the changes occur, unless impracticable, but in no event later than the actual day the change is made. The Settling Work

Defendant's Project Coordinator shall be subject to disapproval by EPA and shall have the technical expertise sufficient to adequately oversee all aspects of the O&M Activities. The

Settling Work Defendant's Project Coordinator shall not be an attorney for any of the Settling Defendants in this matter. He or she may assign other representatives, including other contractors, to serve as a Site representative for oversight of performance of daily operations during O&M activities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiffs may designate other representatives, в. including, but not limited to, EPA and State employees, and Federal and State contractors and consultants, to observe and monitor the progress of any activity undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's Project Coordinator and Alternate Project Coordinator shall have the authority lawfully vested in a Remedial Project Manager (RPM) and an On-Scene Coordinator (OSC) by the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. addition, EPA's Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator shall have authority, consistent with the National Contingency Plan, to halt any O&M Activities required by this Consent Decree and to take any necessary response action when the Project Coordinator determines that conditions at the Site constitute an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment due to release or threatened release of Waste Material.
- C. EPA's Project Coordinator and the Defendants' Project Coordinators will meet on a regular basis as deemed appropriate by EPA's Project Coordinator.

XIV. FUNDING OF RESPONSE ACTIVITIES

A. Within sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent Decree, Lockheed Martin shall establish and maintain financial security AUGUST 5, 1996
BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

- in the amount of the then net present value to be calculated
 based upon agreed upon discount and inflation rates, and subject

 to EPA approval of calculation of the O&M Activities for the
 Upstream Facilities, in one or a combination of the following
 forms:
 - 1. A surety bond guaranteeing performance of the O&M Activities for the Upstream Facilities;

- 2. One or more irrevocable letters of credit:
- 3. A trust fund or combination of trust funds;
- 4. A guarantee to fund the O&M Activities for the Upstream Facilities by one or more parent corporations or subsidiaries, or by one or more unrelated corporations that have a substantial business relationship with Lockheed Martin;
- 5. A demonstration that Lockheed Martin satisfies the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f); or
- 6. A demonstration, by submittal of its annual report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, that Lockheed Martin possesses the requisite financial ability to assure completion of the O&M Activities for the Upstream Facilities.
- B. The amount of financial security that Lockheed Martin is required to maintain shall be decreased in the following increments:
- 1. Four years after the Date of Commencement,

 Lockheed Martin shall maintain financial security in the amount

 of \$_____ [amount certain to be calculated based on agreed to

 inflation and discount rates].
- 28 AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

- 2. Nine years after the Date of Commencement,

 Lockheed Martin shall maintain financial security in the amount

 of \$_____ [amount certain to be calculated based on agreed to inflation and discount rates].
- 3. Thirteen years after the Date of Commencement,

 Lockheed Martin shall maintain financial security in the amount

 of \$_____ [amount certain to be calculated based on agreed to inflation and discount rates.]
- C. Within sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent Decree, bookheed Martin the Settling Cash Defendants shall cause the funds in the escrow account established pursuant to the Settlement Agreement reached in the action entitled Lockheed Corporation v. Crane Company, United States District Court, Central District of California No. CV 94-2717 MRP (Tx) ("Escrow Account") to be transferred into a segregated account ("Second Consent Decree Account").
- D. Within thirty (30) days prior to the Date of Commencement, Lockheed Martin shall establish a trust account ("O&M Trust Account"). The O&M Trust Account shall be used to satisfy the Settling Cash Defendants' Lockheed Martin's obligation to fund the O&M Activities for the Upstream Facilities and other obligations as required by this Section XIV (Funding of Response Activities), and Section VI (Performance of the Work), Paragraphs C.7 of this Consent Decree.
- 1. The costs of O&M Activities with respect to the Upstream Facilities, including but not limited to the costs of rectifying any construction defect in the Upstream Facilities,

²⁸ AUGUST 5, 1996
BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

all costs of additional response actions required by EPA pursuant to Section VII (Additional Response Actions) related to the Upstream Facilities, and costs incurred for the Site pursuant to Section VIII (EPA Periodic Review) shall be paid from the O&M Trust Account subject to the limitations and in accordance with the provisions set forth in this Section.

- Downstream Facilities, including but not limited to the costs of rectifying any construction defect in the Downstream Facilities, and all costs of additional response actions required by EPA pursuant to Section VII (Additional Response Actions) related to the Downstream Facilities shall be paid directly by the City and shall not be subject to reimbursement from the O&M Trust Account. The City's contracting and accounting systems shall be established so as to clearly distinguish between costs incurred for O&M Activities or other activities associated with the Upstream Facilities and costs incurred for O&M Activities associated with the Downstream Facilities associated with the Downstream Facilities.
- E. Lockheed Martin and the City shall, by January 1, 1999, jointly retain an independent cost estimating consultant ("Cost Consultant") acceptable to both parties and EPA, whose responsibilities shall include preparation of the Annual Budgets and Audit Reports for O&M Activities with respect to the Upstream Facilities required by this Section. The Cost Consultant may be replaced by mutual agreement of Lockheed Martin and the City upon thirty (30) days written notice to EPA and the Cost Consultant, subject to approval by EPA. Either the City or Lockheed Martin AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

may petition EPA for the replacement of the Cost Consultant.

- 1. If Lockheed Martin, the City and EPA are unable to agree upon a Cost Consultant by January 1, 1999, Lockheed Martin and the City shall, within thirty (30) days thereafter, each submit a list of three (3) cost estimating consultants to the other party and to EPA, along with information regarding the qualifications of each cost estimating consultant on its list. Within ten (10) days after both lists have been submitted, the City and Lockheed Martin may each veto one cost estimating consultant from the other's list. EPA shall select the Cost Consultant from the cost estimating consultants remaining on one or both of the lists, unless all such consultants are unacceptable to EPA.
- 2. The Cost Consultant may retain a subcontractor to perform some of his or her functions, as described herein. Any such subcontractor shall be approved by the City, Lockheed Martin and EPA prior to performing any work.
- Consultant, the City, Lockheed Martin and EPA shall attempt to 'agree upon the selection of a replacement. If the parties cannot agree upon a replacement, the procedures described in paragraph E above shall be employed to select a replacement. The list of three (3) cost estimating consultants referred to in subparagraph E.1 shall be submitted forty-five (45) days prior to the effective date of resignation of the Cost Consultant or such other date as may be mutually agreed upon by the City, Lockheed Martin and EPA.

²⁸ AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

- 1 2
- 3 4
- 5
- 6
- 7

- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28 AUGUST 5, 1996
 - BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

- The Cost Consultant's fees shall be paid from the O&M Trust Account.
- It shall be the Cost Consultant's responsibility to independently use his or her best technical judgment to prepare an Annual Budget for O&M Activities with respect to the Upstream Facilities for each of the years during which such O&M Activities are required by this Decree ("Annual Budget"). The Annual Budget shall be developed in the following manner:
- 1. No later than one hundred and twenty (120) days prior to the Date of Commencement, Lockheed Martin shall provide the Cost Consultant and the City with non-proprietary information regarding its operation and maintenance costs with respect to the Upstream Facilities for the prior year.
- Ninety (90) days prior to the Date of Commencement, and annually thereafter, the City may submit to the Cost Consultant, Lockheed Martin and EPA its estimate of the cost of O&M Activities with respect to the Upstream Facilities O&M Activities for the one-year period beginning on the Date of Commencement or on the anniversary thereof for Commencement or on the anniversary thereof for the upcoming year. Such an estimate may be submitted by the City in advance of each of the eighteen (18) years for which O&M Activities are required by this Decree.
- Sixty (60) days prior to the Date of Commencement, and annually thereafter, Lockheed Martin and EPA may submit comments to the Cost Consultant on the City's estimate submitted pursuant to Paragraph F.1 of this Section.

Thirty (30) days prior to the Date of Commencement,

and annually thereafter, the Cost Consultant shall establish the Annual Budget based on: (1) O&M Activities expenditures with respect to the Upstream Facilities during prior years; (2) the City of Burbank's estimate; (3) Lockheed Martin's comments thereon, if any; 4) EPA's comments thereon, if any; and (5) any other cost estimating factors deemed relevant by the Cost Consultant.

- 5. The Annual Budget shall contain the following cost categories relating to the Upstream Facilities: direct labor, contracted-for labor, power, natural gas, liquid phase carbon, vapor phase carbon, laboratory costs, supplies and materials, disposal costs, permitting costs, replacement costs, insurance, fees of the Cost Consultant and any other cost categories related to the O&M Activities with respect to the Upstream Facilities that the Cost Consultant deems appropriate for cost accounting purposes. In addition, costs of compliance with the provisions of Sections VII (Additional Response Actions) with respect to the Upstream Facilities and VIII (EPA Periodic Review) of this Consent Decree with respect to the Upstream Facilities—shall be deemed to be O&M Activities and may be included in the Annual Budget.
- 6. The Cost Consultant shall include a 10% contingency for each cost category in the Annual Budget.
- 7. Lockheed Martin, the City and EPA shall each have the right to invoke dispute resolution pursuant to Section XX (Dispute Resolution) of this Consent Decree regarding the total budgeted amount set forth in any Annual Budget, the amount

budgeted for any cost item, the inclusion or exclusion of any item from the Annual Budget, or any other matter related to the establishment of the Annual Budget.

- G. Lockheed Martin shall ensure that the O&M Trust Account contains funds equal to or in excess of the Annual Budget established for the upcoming year as of the Date of Commencement, and as of each anniversary of that date, by causing funds from the Second Consent Decree Account or its own funds to be transferred to the O&M Trust Account. The City shall have no obligation to undertake O&M Activities with respect to the Upstream Facilities if the O&M Trust Account has not been funded in the manner required by this Paragraph.
- H. The City shall submit monthly statements to the trustee of the O&M Trust Account ("Trustee") for payment. Each statement shall be broken down into the same cost categories as set forth in the Annual Budget. The statement shall include copies of all relevant documentation, including purchasing documents, backup documentation for all internal costs, and all invoices, including backup documentation to support all invoiced contracted for costs, and a declaration by an authorized representative of the City that each amount requested in the statement is due and payable to a party who provided materials or services for O&M Activities with respect to the Upstream Facilities conducted in accordance with the Second Consent Decree and the Second Stage O&M Work Plan. The City shall simultaneously provide a copy of each monthly statement to the Cost Consultant, Lockheed Martin and EPA.
- AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

1 2 e: 3 s 4 c 5 e

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. Any monthly statement seeking payment for an expenditure outside a cost category in the Annual Budget and any statement which will cause the applicable Annual Budget cost category amount to be exceeded must be accompanied by an explanation of the necessity for that expenditure.

2. Disbursements by the Trustee.

The Trustee shall promptly pay all amounts requested in a monthly statement that satisfies the requirements of this Section. However, except that Lockheed Martin and EPA shall have the right to invoke dispute resolution pursuant to Section XX (Dispute Resolution) of this Consent Decree with regard to the necessity for any expenditure for which an explanation is required, within five (5) days of receipt of the monthly statement. If either Lockheed Martin or EPA invokes dispute resolution as to any amount included in a monthly statement, EPA shall make a preliminary determination, within ten (10) working days of dispute resolution being invoked, of concerning whether the disputed amount should be paid. If EPA so determines, Lockheed Martin shall pay the disputed amount within thirty (30) days thereafter. Such amount shall be promptly reimbursed to Lockheed Martin if Lockheed Martin thereafter prevails in dispute resolution.

b. In the event that EPA decides to take over some or all of the work required to be performed by the Settling Work Defendant pursuant to Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), Paragraph F, or Section XVIII (Indemnification and Insurance), Paragraph B, the Trustee shall reimburse EPA within

Enirty (30) days of EPA's written demand for EPA's costs not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan which are incurred to take over and/or to perform such work. In the alternative, EPA may elect to be reimbursed for some or all of such costs as Site-Specific Future Response Costs pursuant to Section XVII (Reimbursement of Response Costs).

1 |

reimbursed for such costs pursuant to this Section or pursuant to Section XVII (Reimbursement of Response Costs), EPA shall not be subject to the requirements of this Section, including but not limited to Annual Budget and audit requirements, concerning such costs.

d. As is set forth in Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), Paragraph F of this Consent Decree, and subject to the limitations described in that Section and Paragraph, Lockheed Martin shall have the right to be reimbursed by Settling Work Defendant for that portion of such costs which is caused by the necessity for EPA to take over such work. As is set forth in Section XVIII (Indemnification and Insurance), Paragraph B, and subject to the limitations described in that Section and Paragraph, the City of Burbank shall not be required to reimburse Lockheed Martin for any portion of such costs if EPA takes over the work pursuant to that Section and Paragraph.

3. The Cost Consultant shall audit the City's requests for payments for expenditures on O&M Activities with respect to the Upstream Facilities on an annual basis. The audit shall cover the one-year period ending one hundred and eighty AUGUST 5, 1996
BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

(180) days prior to the beginning of the period covered by the next Annual Budget and the Costs Consultant's audit report ("Audit Report") shall be provided to the City, Lockheed Martin and EPA at least one hundred and fifty (150) days prior to the beginning of the period covered by the next Annual Budget. The purpose of the audit is to: (1) assist the Cost Consultant in preparing the Annual Budget; and (2) to allow the parties to determine whether any unnecessary costs have been incurred.

- 4. Within sixty (60) days of receipt of an annual Audit Report, the City shall reimburse the O&M Trust Account for expenditures found to be unnecessary during the audited period.
- 5. Lockheed Martin, the City and EPA shall each have the right to invoke dispute resolution with respect to the finding in an Audit Report.
- 6. The Cost Consultant shall perform a final audit of the City's request for payments for O&M Activities with respect to the Upstream Facilities within ninety (90) days following EPA's approval of the Certificate of Completion pursuant to Section XV of this Decree. Lockheed and the City shall settle all accounts with the O&M Trust Account within thirty (30) days of the issuance of the Cost Consultant's final Audit Report. At that time, the Cost Consultant shall direct the Trustee and the Trustee shall be required to pay over all remaining funds in the O&M Trust Account, if any, to Lockheed Martin. Lockheed Martin, the City and EPA shall have the right to invoke dispute resolution with regard to the final accounting or the final Audit Report.

- I. The City of Burbank shall utilize a competitive bidding process to secure all services and materials required to perform O&M Activities with respect to the Upstream Facilities that are susceptible to contract. Award of any contract to other than the "lowest responsible bidder" within the meaning of Burbank Municipal Code § ____, shall require a justification by the City in compliance with pursuant to applicable State and local law. Lockheed Martin hereby reserves all of its rights under State or local law concerning award of any such contract to any person or persons except the "lowest responsible bidder" within the meaning of Burbank Municipal Code § ____.
- J. The City of Burbank shall utilize the lowest cost power source available for operating the Upstream Facilities. In no event shall the power costs exceed the lowest power pool cost in effect at the time.
- K. Lockheed Martin may at any time propose that a capital expenditure be incurred to reduce O&M expenditures with respect to the Upstream Facilities. Any such proposal shall be simultaneously submitted to the Cost Consultant, the City and EPA. Any such proposal shall be limited to facilities that can be fully accommodated within "Area F" as shown on Appendix F to the First Consent Decree. In addition, any such proposal shall be limited to facilities for which the disturbance at Area F from grading and construction will be less than thirty (30) days, which period shall include all phases of any multi-phase proposal.
- 1. The Cost Consultant shall review the proposal and
 AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

determine, based on generally accepted cost engineering
principles, whether the capital expenditure is economically
justified based on the size of the expenditure, the projected O&M
savings and the remaining life of the project.

- 2. If the Cost Consultant determines that the capital expenditure is economically justified, Lockheed Martin may submit a conceptual design of the proposed work to EPA for approval.

 Such submittals shall be made in accordance with Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval).
- 3. If EPA approves the conceptual design, Lockheed Martin shall submit a final design for the proposed work. If EPA approves the final design, Lockheed Martin shall proceed to implement the capital improvement. Lockheed Martin shall be solely responsible for funding the capital improvement.
- L. Both the Upstream Facilities and the Downstream Facilities shall be considered property of the City for all purposes.
- M. Commencing from the date the Settling Work Defendant begins to operate the Upstream Facilities, and for a period not to exceed the applicable State statute of limitations under which Lockheed Martin may bring such an action against its design contractors less sixty (60) days, the Settling Work Defendant may assert as against Lockheed Martin that any of the Upstream Facilities' failure (if any) to perform as originally designed is due to a Design Defect. Commencing upon the Effective Date of this Consent Decree (as defined in Section XXVIII), and for a period not to exceed the applicable State statute of limitations AUGUST 5, 1996

1 |

under which the UAO Parties may bring such an action against their design contractors less sixty (60) days, the Settling Work Defendant may assert as against the UAO Parties that the Blending Facility's failure (if any) to perform as originally designed is due to a Design Defect. The Parties agree that the date of substantial completion of the Upstream Facilities was March 1, 1994 and the date of the substantial completion of the Blending Facility was January 6, 1996.

- 1. The Settling Work Defendant, Lockheed, the UAO Parties and EPA agree to the following procedures for the resolution of disputes arising from claims that the Upstream Facilities or the Blending Facility have failed to perform as originally designed due to a Design Defect. These disputes may include but are not limited to a determination as to whether or not a failure to perform as originally designed occurred, whether the failure (if any) was due to a Design Defect, the nature, extent and scope of the repair or other work required to cause the facility in question to meet designated operating standards, the reasonableness and necessity of the costs incurred or to be incurred for such work, and the reasonableness, necessity and timeliness of steps taken to address or mitigate such damage claims.
- a. Upon the occurrence of a facility's failure to perform as originally designed which the Settling Work Defendant alleges to be due, in whole or in part, to a Design Defect in the Upstream Facilities or the Blending Facility:
 - (1) If the alleged occurrence or failure

causes or threatens a release of Waste Material from the Site that constitutes an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, the Settling Work Defendant shall take all actions and provide notifications required by Section XVI (Emergency Response). If the alleged occurrence or failure does not come within the provisions of Section XVI (Emergency Response), Settling Work Defendant shall immediately advise the EPA of the alleged occurrence or failure, by telephone or facsimile transmission.

written Notice of Design Defect to EPA within ten (10) days of the date when Settling Work Defendant knew, or reasonably should have known that the alleged occurrence or failure was caused by an alleged Design Defect. The written Notice of Design Defect shall include the basis for the allegation. The Settling Work Defendant shall concurrently provide a copy of the written Notice of Design Defect to either: 1) Lockheed Martin if the alleged Design Defect relates to the Upstream Facilities, or 2) the UAO Parties if the alleged Design Defect relates to the Blending Facility.

b. The Settling Work Defendant shall take such steps as EPA directs to commence repairs to the facility, and shall take reasonable steps to mitigate all damages and costs incurred as a result of the alleged Design Defect. Within five (5) days of undertaking such steps, the Settling Work Defendant shall advise EPA and all interested Parties, in writing and by facsimile transmission, of the repairs and steps it has taken or

٠		

intends to undertake.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- The Parties shall cooperate with one another and immediately make available to each other: all facilities pertaining to the failure and the alleged Design Defect; all records pertaining to the failure and the alleged Design Defect; all records pertaining to the operations and maintenance of the facility including all repair records, all work plans or designs for repair or mitigation of damages; all persons with information about the failure and the alleged Design Defect; and all systems that are claimed to be defective. The information to be made available by the UAO Parties and Lockheed Martin shall include but shall not be limited to applicable contracts and correspondence with Lockheed Martin's or the UAO Parties' design contractors, internal documentation relating to the design of the facility with the alleged Design Defect, and "as-builts" of the facility with the alleged Design Defect. The Parties shall make good faith efforts to preserve evidence and information. The Settling Work Defendant's good faith efforts may include but shall not be limited to maintaining a videotape record or log of the status or condition of the facility prior to the performance of repairs or alterations, where practicable.
- 2. Not less than fifteen (15) nor more than thirty (30) days after receipt of the Settling Work Defendant's written Notice of Design Defect, the EPA shall make a Preliminary : Finding.
- a. Lockheed Martin or the UAO Parties may submit a written or oral response to the Settling Work Defendant's AUGUST 5, 1996

allegation within the fifteen (15) days.

15 l

- b. The EPA's Preliminary Finding shall include a preliminary determination as to whether the affected facility or facilities failed to perform as originally designed; whether that failure was, in whole or in part, due to a Design Defect; a preliminary allocation of financial responsibility among the Parties to this Consent Decree; and a preliminary finding as to the reasonableness and necessity of any repairs or other work done or proposed by the Settling Work Defendant as a result of the alleged Design Defect.
- c. According to the preliminary allocation of financial responsibility in the EPA Preliminary Finding, the Parties shall finance the work deemed necessary by EPA to cause the affected facility to perform as originally designed, as follows.
- caused, in whole or in part, by a Design Defect in any of the Upstream Facilities, Lockheed Martin shall, within ten (10) days of receipt of the EPA Preliminary Finding, or within ten (10) days of receipt of an itemized statement by the Settling Work Defendant of all repairs or other work performed or to be undertaken as a result of the alleged Design Defect, whichever is later, remit to the Settling Work Defendant the cost of all such work which Lockheed is required to finance pursuant to the preliminary allocation of financial responsibility.
- (2) If EPA determines that the failure was caused, in whole or in part, by a Design Defect in the Blending AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

Facility, the UAO Parties shall, within ten (10) days of receipt of the EPA Preliminary Finding, or within ten (10) days of receipt of an itemized statement by the Settling Work Defendant of all repairs or other work performed or to be undertaken as a result of the alleged Design Defect, whichever is later, remit to the Settling Work Defendant the cost of all such work which the UAO Parties are required to finance pursuant to the preliminary allocation of financial responsibility. Among the UAO Parties, the obligations of this Paragraph shall be joint and several.

(3) If EPA determines that the failure of the affected facility was not caused, in whole or in part, by a Design Defect in the Upstream Facilities or the Blending Facility, the Settling Work Defendant and Lockheed Martin shall finance such work as these parties are required to finance pursuant to this Section, Paragraphs A-L.

(4) The Settling Work Defendant shall use such funds as are remitted by Lockheed Martin or the UAO Parties pursuant to the Preliminary Finding to pay for work necessary to cause the facility with the alleged Design Defect to perform as originally designed and for no other purpose.

(5) The Preliminary Finding may require a party whose facility has been determined to have a Design Defect to provide for advance or ongoing funding of any work necessary to cause the affected facility to perform as originally designed.

(6) The Preliminary Finding also may require the Settling Work Defendant to account for expenditures of funds remitted to it under this Paragraph, and to reimburse any party

who has remitted such funds if the amount remitted exceeds the expenditures necessary to perform the work necessary to cause the affected facility to perform as originally designed.

- (7) EPA shall have continuing jurisdiction over the implementation of the Preliminary Determination.
- d. Subject to EPA's approval, the Settling Work Defendant shall perform such work as is necessary to cause the affected facility to perform as originally designed. EPA may require the Settling Work Defendant to submit a schedule and work plan for such work within a specified period of time. Such schedule(s) and work plan(s) shall be submitted, approved and implemented in accordance with Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval).
- 3. Not less than ninety (90) nor more than one hundred and twenty (120) days after receipt of the Settling Work Defendant's Notice of Design Defect, the EPA shall make a further evaluation and issue a Further Determination based upon the following procedure:
- a. The Settling Work Defendant and any Settling Defendants who receive a copy of a Notice of Design Defect pursuant to Paragraph M.1.a.2 of this Section shall have sixty (60) days from receipt of the statement to further inspect the facilities and submit a written statement to EPA. Any such Settling Defendant may request the opportunity to make an oral presentation to the EPA by sending written notice of such intent to EPA and other Settling Defendants who receive a copy of the Notice of Design Defect. EPA shall set a reasonable date, time AUGUST 5, 1996

and location for the presentation. The EPA, in its discretion, may require oral presentations from the affected Settling Defendants.

1 |

- b. If any party submits a written statement as described in Paragraph M.3.a of this Section, EPA shall issue a Further Determination. In the Further Determination, if any, EPA shall determine whether or not a failure to perform as originally designed occurred; whether the failure (if any) was due, in whole or in part, to a Design Defect; the nature, extent and scope of any repairs or other work required to cause the facility to perform as originally designed; the reasonableness and necessity of the costs incurred or to be incurred for such work; the reasonableness, necessity and timeliness of steps taken to address or mitigate damage claims; the comparative fault of any other party, entity or person; and an allocation of financial responsibility among the parties to this Consent Decree. EPA shall provide written notice of its decision to the parties.
- c. According to the allocation of financial responsibility in the EPA Further Determination:
- (1) If EPA determines that the failure was caused, in whole or in part, by a Design Defect in any of the Upstream Facilities, Lockheed Martin shall, within ten (10) days of receipt of the EPA Further Determination, or within ten (10) days of receipt of an itemized statement by the Settling Work Defendant of all repairs or other work performed or to be undertaken as a result of the alleged Design Defect, whichever is later, 1) remit to the Settling Work Defendant the cost of all

such work which Lockheed Martin is required to finance by the Further Determination, less any portion of such amounts previously remitted to the Settling Work Defendant pursuant to the Preliminary Finding, and 2) reimburse other Settling Work Defendant(s) if required by the Further Determination.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

AUGUST 5, 1996

If EPA determines that the failure was (2) caused, in whole or in part, by a Design Defect in the Blending Facility, the UAO Parties shall, within ten (10) days of receipt of the EPA Further Determination, or within ten (10) days of receipt of an itemized statement by the Settling Work Defendant of all repairs or other work performed or to be undertaken as a result of the alleged Design Defect, whichever is later, 1) remit to the Settling Work Defendant the cost of all such work which the UAO Parties are required to finance pursuant to the Further Determination, less any portion of such amounts previously remitted to the Settling Work Defendant pursuant to the Preliminary Finding, and 2) reimburse other Settling Work Defendant(s) if required by the Further Determination. Among the UAO Parties, the obligations of this Paragraph shall be joint and several.

the affected facility was not caused, in whole or in part, by a Design Defect, the Settling Work Defendant and Lockheed Martin shall finance such work as these parties are required to finance pursuant to this Section, Paragraphs A-L. If required by the Further Determination, Settling Work Defendant shall reimburse Lockheed Martin or the UAO Parties for amounts advanced pursuant

to the Preliminary Finding.

- (4) The Settling Work Defendant shall use such funds as are remitted by Lockheed Martin or the UAO Parties pursuant to the Further Determination to pay for work necessary to cause the facility with the alleged Design Defect to perform as originally designed and for no other purpose.
- (5) The Further Determination may require a party whose facility has been determined to have a Design Defect to provide for advance or ongoing funding of any work necessary to cause the affected facility to perform as originally designed.
- the Settling Work Defendant to account for expenditures of funds remitted to it under this Paragraph M, and to reimburse any party who has remitted such funds if the amount remitted exceeds the expenditures necessary to perform the work necessary to cause the affected facility to perform as originally designed. The Further Determination also shall require that the Settling Work Defendant make any such reimbursement within a reasonable, specified period of time.
- (7) EPA shall have continuing jurisdiction over the Further Determination.
- 4. If a dispute exists among any of the Settling Defendants as to the EPA Further Determination, the Parties' participation in or satisfaction of the terms or conditions set forth in the EPA Preliminary Finding or Further Determination shall not act as a waiver of any claims or defenses by any party, and the Parties may proceed to seek judicial review of such a AUGUST 5, 1996

dispute as follows:

a. The Settling Work Defendant, Lockheed Martin or the UAO Parties may seek a final resolution of the dispute between or among them concerning the EPA Further Determination by filing suit against one another in a court of competent jurisdiction. Nothing in this Section shall be construed to provide any party with a claim or cause of action against the United States or the State.

b. The court shall determine all issues regarding the dispute among the Settling Work Defendant, Lockheed Martin, and/or the UAO Parties concerning the EPA Further Determination de novo. Discovery and evidence as to such dispute(s) shall not be limited to the Administrative Record, except that nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to affect the restrictions on judicial review set forth in CERCLA section 113 (j) and (k), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(j)-(k) or California Health & Safety Code section

c. Upon the entry of a final judgment by the court or upon final resolution of the dispute as agreed upon by the parties, if the court's determination and allocation or the parties' final resolution differs from that set forth in the EPA's Further Determination, then each party shall be reimbursed or the responsible party shall pay another party's previous allocation so that each party's final share of total costs shall correspond to the court's judgment or the parties' final resolution. Any such reimbursement shall include pre-judgment interest pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

______, unless otherwise agreed by the parties. The court's final judgment or the parties' final resolution shall supersede EPA's Further Determination. Should additional costs be incurred relating to the Design Defect(s) at issue after the court's final judgment or the parties' final resolution, the court's final judgment or the parties' final resolution shall be followed by the parties and EPA.

N. Funding of Repairs Required by Force Majeure Events.

- As used in this Paragraph, "major" damage is damage which will require expenditure of more than five per cent (5%) of the net present value of the capital improvement portion [to be calculated based on agreed discount and inflation rates, and subject to EPA approval] of either the Upstream Facilities, the Blending Facility, or the other Downstream Facilities to repair or rebuild; "minor" damage is damage which will require expenditure of five per cent (5%) or less of the net present value of capital improvement portion of the affected facility to repair or rebuild.
- 2. In the event of a force majeure event (as is defined in Section XIX (Force Majeure)) which causes major damage to any of the Upstream Facilities or Blending Facility, EPA reserves its rights against Settling Defendants pursuant to Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), Paragraph B. In the event of a force majeure event which causes minor damage to the Upstream Facilities or the Blending Facility, Lockheed Martin shall fund the repair and/or rebuilding of the affected facility up to and including five per cent (5%) of the net AUGUST 5, 1996

present value of the Upstream Facilities or Blending Facility, whichever is affected unless EPA exercises its rights under Section XX (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), Paragraph B.

 In the event of a force majeure event (as is defined in Section XIX (Force Majeure)) which causes major or minor damage to the Downstream Facilities other than the Blending Facility, the City of Burbank shall fund the repair and/or rebuilding of such facilities unless EPA exercises its rights under Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), Paragraph B.

XV. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Completion of the O&M Activities. Α.

At least ninety (90) days prior to the date that Settling Work Defendant anticipates that the Work will have been fully performed, Settling Work Defendant shall submit a written report requesting certification to EPA for approval, with a copy to the State, pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval). If any party other than the Settling Work Defendant is required to dismantle or decommission any facility constructed pursuant to the First Consent Decree, this Consent Decree, or UAO 92-12, that party also may submit a written report requesting certification to EPA for approval, with a copy to the State, pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency In the report, a registered professional engineer and Approval). the Settling Work Defendant's Project Coordinator shall state that the O&M Activities will be complete in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Consent Decree. The written report AUGUST 5, 1996

shall include all appropriate and necessary information to a determination of completion, including the date upon which completion is anticipated, and if appropriate drawings signed and stamped by a professional engineer. The report shall contain the following statement, signed by the Settling Work Defendant's authorized Project Coordinator:

"To the best of my knowledge, after thorough investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

- 2. If EPA deems necessary, EPA may conduct a precertification inspection. If, after review of the written report and conducting a pre-certification inspection, EPA deems such an inspection necessary, EPA, after reasonable opportunity to review and comment by the State, determines that the O&M Activities or any portion thereof will not be completed in accordance with this Consent Decree on the date anticipated by Settling Work

 Defendant, EPA will notify the Settling Work Defendant in writing of the activities that must be undertaken to complete the O&M Activities.
- 3. EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with this Consent Decree and the O&M Second Stage Work Plan or require the Settling Work Defendant to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval). Settling Work Defendant shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules

established pursuant to this Paragraph, subject to its right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

subsequent report requesting Certification of Completion and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, that the O&M Activities have been fully performed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will so certify in writing to all Settling Defendants. This certification shall constitute the Certification of Completion of the O&M Activities for purposes of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs). Certification of Completion of the O&M Activities shall not affect Settling Work Defendant's other obligations under this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any obligation to dismantle or decommission the treatment and blending facilities.

XVI. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

In the event of any action or occurrence during the performance of the O&M Activities which causes or threatens a release of Waste Material from the Site that constitutes an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, Settling Work Defendant shall, subject to this Section, immediately take all appropriate action to prevent, abate, or minimize such release or threat of release. Settling Work Defendant shall report such a situation to the appropriate regulatory authorities as required by law. As soon as possible and reasonable under the circumstances, but in AUGUST 5, 1996

no event more than one working day after making the report 1 | required by law, Settling Work Defendant shall notify EPA's Project Coordinator, or if the Project Coordinator is unavailable, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator. If neither of these individuals is available, Settling Work Defendant shall 5 notify the Emergency Response Unit, EPA, Region IX. Settling Work Defendant shall take such actions in consultation with EPA's 7 Project Coordinator or other available authorized EPA officer and 8 in accordance with all applicable provisions of the Health and Safety Plans, the Contingency Plans, and any other applicable plans or documents developed pursuant to the Second Stage SOW or the O&M Second Stage Work Plan. In the event that Settling Work Defendant fails to take appropriate response action as required by this Section, and EPA or, as appropriate, the State takes such 14 action instead, Settling Work Defendant shall reimburse EPA and 15 16 the State all costs of the response action not inconsistent with 17 the NCP pursuant to Section XVII (Reimbursement of Response 18 Costs).

Nothing in the preceding Paragraph or in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to limit any authority of the United States, or the State to take, direct, or order all appropriate action or to seek an order from the Court to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Site.

XVII. REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS

3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

A. Within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date of this AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

Consent Decree as defined in Section XXVIII (Effective Date), Lockheed Martin shall:

- 1. Pay to the United States \$ 11,827,869 in the form of an EFT to the U.S. Department of Justice Lockbox referencing the San Fernando Valley Superfund Site/Burbank Operable Unit, and referencing CERCLA Number SSID #59, DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-442 and USAO File No. 91-03-463 in reimbursement of Past Basin-wide Response Costs.
- 2. Lockheed Martin shall provide written verification to EPA regarding EFT transfers pursuant to this Section as specified in Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions).
- 3. Pay to the State \$ 22,348.60 in reimbursement of Past Basin-wide Response Costs incurred by the State and \$ 25,264.14 in reimbursement of Past Site-Specific Response Costs incurred by the State in the form of a certified check or checks made payable to the State of California, Department of Toxic Substances Control, Project No. 300173. The Settling Defendants shall send the certified check(s) to Department of Toxic Substances Control, 400 P Street, 4th floor, Sacramento, California, 95814.
- B. Lockheed Martin shall reimburse the United States and the State for all Future Site-Specific Response Costs not inconsistent with the National Contingency Plan incurred by the United States and the State. The United States and the State will send Lockheed Martin bills requiring payment which include direct and indirect costs incurred by EPA, DOJ, the State and their contractors no more frequently than annually; provided, AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

however, that failure to include all such costs in the submittal during any calendar year will not preclude EPA from submitting such costs in any subsequent year. EPA's Agency Financial Management System Summary Data (SCORES) Report or equivalent shall constitute documentation of EPA's costs. Lockheed Martin shall make payment within sixty (60) days of the date of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided in Section XVII, Paragraphs D and F. Lockheed Martin shall make all payments required by this Paragraph in the following manner: [EPA will provide language establishing a site-specific account for receipt of these amounts]. Lockheed Martin shall transmit such amounts in the form of a EFT to the U.S. Department of Justice Lockbox referencing the San Fernando Valley Superfund Site/Burbank Operable Unit, and referencing CERCLA Number SSID # L6, DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-442 and USAO File No. 91-03-463.

C. Lockheed Martin may contest a bill for Future SiteSpecific Response Costs under Section XVII, Paragraph C if it
determines that the United States or the State has made an
accounting error or if it alleges that a cost item that is
included represents costs that are inconsistent with the NCP.
Such objection shall be made in writing within sixty (60) days of
receipt of the bill and must be sent to the United States (if the
United States' accounting is being disputed) or the State (if the
State's accounting is being disputed) pursuant to Section XXVII
(Notices and Submissions). Any such objection shall specifically
identify the contested Future Site-Specific Response Costs and
the basis for objection. In the event of such an objection,

1 Lockheed Martin shall within the sixty (60) day period pay all 2 uncontested Future Site-Specific Response Costs to the United States or the State in the manner described in Section XVII, 3 Paragraph B. Simultaneously, Lockheed Martin shall establish an 4 5 interest-bearing escrow account in a Federally-insured bank duly chartered in the State of California and remit to that escrow 6 7 account funds equivalent to the amount of the contested Future 8 Site-Specific Response Costs. Lockheed Martin shall send to the 9 United States, as provided in Section XXVII (Notices and 10 Submissions), and the State a copy of the transmittal letter and check paying the uncontested Future Site-Specific Response Costs, 11 and a copy of the correspondence that establishes and funds the 12 escrow account, including, but not limited to, information 13 containing the identity of the bank and bank account under which 14 the escrow account is established as well as a bank statement 15 16 showing the initial balance of the escrow account. 17 Simultaneously with establishment of the escrow account, within the sixty (60) day period, Lockheed Martin shall initiate the 18 Dispute Resolution procedures in Section XX (Dispute Resolution). 19 If the United States or the State prevails in the dispute or 20 concerning any aspect of the contested costs in dispute, within 21 five (5) days of the resolution of the dispute, Lockheed Martin 22 shall pay the sums due (with accrued Interest) to the United 23 States or the State, if State costs are disputed, in the manner 24 described in this Section, Paragraph B. If Lockheed Martin 25 prevails concerning any aspect of the contested costs, Lockheed 26 Martin shall pay that portion of the costs (plus associated 27 AUGUST 5, 1996 28 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

accrued Interest) as to which it did not prevail to the United States or the State, if State costs are disputed in the manner described in this Section, Paragraph B; Lockheed Martin shall be disbursed any balance of the escrow account. The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Paragraph in conjunction with the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) shall be the exclusive mechanisms for resolving disputes regarding Lockheed Martin's obligation to reimburse the United States and the State for their Future Site-Specific Response Costs, including without limitation allegations of accounting errors or allegations that costs billed are inconsistent with the NCP.

D. In the event that any payment required by this Section, Paragraph A.1 is not made within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree (as defined by Section XXVIII), Lockheed Martin shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. The Interest to be paid shall begin to accrue sixty (60) days after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree. Interest shall accrue at the rate specified through the date of lockheed Martin's the Settling Cash Defendant's payment. Payments of Interest made under this Paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiffs by virtue of a failure to make timely payments under this Section.

XVIII. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE

The United States and the State do not assume any liability by entering into this Consent Decree or by virtue of any designation of Settling Work Defendant as EPA's authorized

accrued Interest) as to which it did not prevail to the United States or the State, if State costs are disputed in the manner described in this Section, Paragraph B; Lockheed Martin shall be disbursed any balance of the escrow account. The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Paragraph in conjunction with the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) shall be the exclusive mechanisms for resolving disputes regarding Lockheed Martin's obligation to reimburse the United States and the State for their Future Site-Specific Response Costs, including without limitation allegations of accounting errors or allegations that costs billed are inconsistent with the NCP.

D. In the event that any payment required by this Section, Paragraph A.1 is not made within sixty (60) days of the Effective Date of this Consent Decree (as defined by Section XXVIII), Lockheed Martin shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. The Interest to be paid shall begin to accrue sixty (60) days after the Effective Date of this Consent Decree. Interest shall accrue at the rate specified through the date of Lockheed Martin's the Settling Cash Defendant's payment. Payments of Interest made under this Paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiffs by virtue of a failure to make timely payments under this Section.

XVIII. <u>INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE</u>

The United States and the State do not assume any liability by entering into this Consent Decree or by virtue of any designation of Settling Work Defendant as EPA's authorized AUGUST 5, 1996

representative under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 1 9604(e). Settling Work Defendant, with respect to response 2 activities performed by Settling Work Defendant, and other 3 4 Settling Work defendants with respect to response activities performed by them, IT any, shall indemnify, save and hold 5 harmless the United States, the State and their officials, 6 agents, employees, contractors, subcontractors, or 7 representatives for or from any and all claims or causes of 8 action arising from, or on account of, acts or omissions of such 9 10 Settling Defendant, its officers, employees, agents, contractors, 11 subcontractors, and any persons acting on its behalf or under its 12 control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any claims arising from 13 the designation of Settling Work Defendant or any other Settling 14 Defendant as EPA's authorized representative under Section 104(e) 15 16 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e). Further, such Settling Defendant 17 agrees to pay the United States and the State all costs they 18 incur including, but not limited to, attorneys fees and other 19 expenses of litigation and settlement arising from, or on account 20 of, claims made against the United States or the State based on acts or omissions of such Settling Defendant, its officers, 21 employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons 22 23 acting on its behalf or under its control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither the United 24 25 States nor the State shall be held out as a party to any contract entered into by or on behalf of such Settling Defendant in 26 27 carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither AUGUST 5, 1996 28 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

such Settling Defendant nor any such contractor shall be considered an agent of the United States or the State.

A. Settling Defendants waive all claims against the United States and the State for damages or reimbursement or for set-off of any payments made or to be made to the United States or the State arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between such Settling Defendants and any person for performance of O&M Activities on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays. In addition, such Settling Defendant shall indemnify and hold harmless the United States and the State with respect to any and all such claims for damages or reimbursement arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between any one or more of Settling Defendants and any person for performance of O&M Activities on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays.

B. No later than thirty (30) days prior to the Date of Commencement, Settling Work Defendant shall secure, and shall maintain until the first anniversary of EPA's Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action pursuant Paragraph A.2 of Section XV (Certification of Completion), comprehensive general liability insurance with limits of \$ _____ million dollars, combined single limit naming as additional insured the United States and the State. In addition, for the duration of this Consent Decree, Settling Work Defendant shall satisfy, or shall ensure that its contractors or subcontractors satisfy, all applicable laws and regulations regarding the provision of AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

worker's compensation insurance for all persons performing the 1 O&M Activities on behalf of Settling Work Defendant in 2 furtherance of this Consent Decree. Prior to commencement of the 3 O&M Activities under this Consent Decree, Settling Work Defendant 4 shall provide to EPA and the State certificates of such insurance 5 and a copy of each insurance policy. Settling Work Defendant 6 shall resubmit such certificates and copies of policies each year 7 on the anniversary of the Date of Commencement. If Settling Work 8 Defendant demonstrates by evidence satisfactory to EPA and the 9 State that its contractor or subcontractor maintains insurance 10 equivalent to that described above, or insurance covering the 11 same risks but in a lesser amount, then, with respect to that 12 contractor or subcontractor, Settling Work Defendant need provide 13 only that portion of the insurance described above which is not 14 maintained by the contractor or subcontractor. If Settling Work 15 Defendant fails to submit proof of insurance as described in this 16 Paragraph, and no other Settling Defendant submits such proof, 17 EPA shall have the right to take over all of the work required by 18 this Consent Decree with respect to the Upstream Facilities, and 19 the City of Burbank shall continue to fund and perform all of the 20 work required by this Consent Decree with respect to the 21 Downstream Facilities. If EPA takes over such the work required 22 by this Consent Decree with respect to the Upstream Facilities 23 pursuant to this Section and Paragraph, Lockheed Martin shall 24 25 fund EPA's performance of such work pursuant to Section XIV 26 (Funding of Response Activities), Paragraph H, 2, a-c of this Consent Decree. If EPA takes over such work pursuant to this 27 AUGUST 5, 1996 28 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

Section and Paragraph, the City of Burbank shall not be required to reimburse Lockheed Martin for any portion of the costs incurred by EPA to take over and/or to perform such work.

C. If Settling Work Defendant obtains insurance as described in this paragraph, and such insurance is subsequently cancelled, Settling Work Defendant shall so notify EPA within ten (10) days of Settling Work Defendant's receipt of notice that such insurance had been cancelled. Furthermore, in the event of such cancellation, equivalent insurance for the O&M Activities shall be obtained as soon as reasonably practicable, and proof of such insurance shall be submitted by Settling Work Defendant to EPA within ten (10) days of such insurance being obtained.

Delays in the O&M Activities or EPA's decision to take over the work due to the failure to obtain or submit proof of insurance shall not constitute a force majeure event under this Consent Decree.

D. In its bid documents, Settling Work Defendant shall require that all contractors submitting bids to become O&M Contractor agree to provide comprehensive general liability insurance in the amount specified in paragraph C of this Section. Settling Work Defendant shall condition awarding the bid for O&M Contractor upon a contractor's ability to provide the comprehensive general liability insurance specified in paragraph C of this Section. The contract entered into between the Settling Work Defendant and the O&M Contractor shall require the O&M Contractor to provide worker's compensation insurance in compliance with all applicable laws and regulations and

comprehensive general liability insurance as specified in paragraph C of this Section. Settling Work Defendant's compliance with this Paragraph shall constitute compliance with its obligation in Paragraph C of this Section to secure and retain insurance, provided the O&M Contractor complies with its obligations to provide the comprehensive general liability insurance specified in Paragraph C of this Section.

- E. In addition to the insurance required by this Section,
 Lockheed Martin, the Settling Work Defendant, and the Settling
 Cash Defendants have agreed among themselves that the Upstream
 Facilities and Blending Facility shall be insured by additional
 coverage as set forth in Exhibit 3 to this Consent Decree.
 XIX. FORCE MAJEURE
- A. "Force majeure," for purposes of this Consent Decree, is defined as any event arising from causes beyond the control of a Settling Defendant or of any entity controlled by such Settling Defendant, including, but not limited to, its contractors and subcontractors, that delays or prevents the performance of any obligation under this Consent Decree despite such Settling Defendant's best efforts to fulfill the obligation. The requirement that the Settling Defendant exercise "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes using best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure event and best efforts to address the effects of any potential force majeure event (1) as it is occurring and (2) following the potential force majeure event, such that the delay is minimized to the greatest extent possible.

 "Force majeure" does not include financial inability to complete AUGUST 5, 1996

the O&M Activities or a failure to attain the Performance Standards.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

B. If any event occurs or has occurred that may delay the performance of any O&M Activities under this Consent Decree, or any other response activities performed under this Consent Decree, whether or not caused by a force majeure event, the Settling Defendant responsible for performing the activities shall notify orally EPA's Project Coordinator or, in his or her absence, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator or, in the event both of EPA's designated representatives are unavailable, the Director of the Hazardous Waste Management Division, EPA Region IX, as soon as possible under the circumstances. It shall be presumed that notice not made within two (2) working days of when such Settling Defendant first knew or should have known that the event might cause a delay is untimely unless evidence credible to EPA and to the contrary is provided to EPA by the Settling Work Defendant. Within ten (10) days thereafter, such Settling Defendant shall provide in writing to EPA and the State an explanation and description of the reasons for the delay; the anticipated duration of the delay; all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay; a schedule for implementation of any measures to be taken to prevent or mitigate the delay or the effect of the delay; the Settling Defendant's rationale for attributing such delay to a force majeure event if it intends to assert such a claim; and a statement as to whether, in the opinion of the Settling Defendant, such event may cause or contribute to an endangerment to public health, welfare or the

1 |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

environment. The Settling Defendant shall include with any notice all available documentation supporting its claim that the delay was attributable to a <u>force maieure</u>. Unless the <u>force maieure</u> event is a natural catastrophe or similar event which inherently justifies departure from the above requirements, failure to comply with the above requirements shall preclude Settling Defendant from asserting any claim of <u>force maieure</u> for that event. A Settling Defendant shall be deemed to have notice of any circumstance of which its contractors or subcontractors had or should have had notice.

If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and C. comment by the State, agrees that the delay or anticipated delay is attributable to a force majeure event, the time for performance of the obligations under this Consent Decree that are affected by the force majeure event will be extended by EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, for such time as is necessary to complete those obligations. An extension of the time for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure event shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation. If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, does not agree that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure event, EPA will notify the Settling Defendant claiming force majeure in writing of its decision. If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, agrees that the delay is attributable to a force majeure event, EPA will notify the Settling Defendant

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

claiming force majeure in writing of the length of the extension, if any, for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure event. Notification to EPA of any other claimed force majeure event affecting other obligations of parties to this consent decree shall be made by the party claiming force majeure in writing to EPA within five working days of when such party knew or should have known that the event might cause a delay in such party's obligations. It shall be presumed that notice not made within such time is untimely unless evidence credible to EPA and to the contrary is provided to EPA by such party.

If the Settling Defendant claiming force majeure elects to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution), it shall do so no later than fifteen (15) days after receipt of EPA's notice. In any such proceeding, the Settling Defendant shall have the burden of demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure event, that the duration of the delay or the extension sought was or will be warranted under the circumstances, that best efforts were exercised to avoid and mitigate the effects of the delay, and that the Settling Defendant complied with the requirements of this Section, Paragraphs A and B, above or was excused from such compliance under the terms of this Decree. If the Settling Defendant carries this burden, the delay at issue shall be deemed not to be a violation by such Settling Defendant of the affected obligation of this Consent Decree identified to EPA and the Court.

XX. DISPUTE RESOLUTION

- A. Unless otherwise expressly provided for in this Consent Decree, the dispute resolution procedures of this Section shall be the exclusive mechanism to resolve disputes arising under or with respect to this Consent Decree. However, the procedures set forth in this Section shall not apply to actions by the United States to enforce obligations of a Settling Defendant that have not been disputed in accordance with this Section.
- B. Any dispute which arises under or with respect to this Consent Decree shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the parties to the dispute. The period for informal negotiations shall not exceed twenty (20) days from the time the dispute arises, unless it is modified by written agreement of the parties to the dispute. The dispute shall be considered to have arisen when one party sends the other party a written Notice of Dispute.
- C. In the event that the parties cannot resolve a dispute by informal negotiations under the preceding Paragraph, then the position advanced by EPA shall be considered binding unless, within ten (10) days after the conclusion of the informal negotiation period, the Settling Defendant asserting that there is a dispute invokes the formal dispute resolution procedures of this Section by serving on the United States a written Statement of Position on the matter in dispute, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis or opinion supporting that position and any supporting documentation relied upon by such Settling Defendant. The Statement of Position shall specify the

Settling Defendant's position as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under this Section XX, Paragraphs F or G.

- D. Within fourteen (14) days after receipt of the Settling Defendant's Statement of Position, EPA will serve on such Settling Defendant its Statement of Position, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and all supporting documentation relied upon by EPA. EPA's Statement of Position shall include a statement as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under this Section XX, Paragraph F or G.
- E. If there is disagreement between EPA and a Settling Defendant asserting there is a dispute as to whether dispute resolution should proceed under Section XX, Paragraph F or G, the parties to the dispute shall follow the procedures set forth in the Paragraph determined by EPA to be applicable. However, if the Settling Defendant ultimately appeals to the Court to resolve the dispute, the Court shall determine which Paragraph is applicable in accordance with the standards of applicability set forth in Section XX, Paragraphs F and G.
- F. Formal dispute resolution for disputes pertaining to the selection or adequacy of any response action and all other disputes that are accorded review on the Administrative Record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Paragraph. For purposes of this Paragraph, the adequacy of any response action includes, without limitation: (1) the adequacy or AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

28 l

appropriateness of plans, procedures to implement plans, or any other items requiring approval by EPA under this Consent Decree; and (2) the adequacy of the performance of response actions taken pursuant to this Consent Decree. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to allow any dispute by Settling Defendants regarding the validity of the ROD's provisions.

- 1. An Administrative Record of the dispute shall be maintained by EPA and shall contain all Statements of Position, including supporting documentation, submitted pursuant to this Paragraph. Where appropriate, EPA may allow submission of supplemental Statements of Position by the parties to the dispute.
- 2. The Director of the Waste Management Division, EPA Region IX, will issue a final administrative decision resolving the dispute based on the administrative record described in Section XX, Paragraph F.1. This decision shall be binding upon the Settling Defendant asserting that there is a dispute, subject only to the right to seek judicial review pursuant to Section XX, Paragraphs F.3 and F.4.
- 3. Any administrative decision made by EPA pursuant to this Section, Paragraph F.2 shall be reviewable by this Court, provided that a notice of judicial appeal is filed by the Settling Defendant with the Court and served on all parties within thirty (30) days of receipt of EPA's decision. The notice of judicial appeal shall include a description of the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the AUGUST 5, 1996

dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of this Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to the Settling Defendant's notice of judicial appeal.

- 4. In proceedings on any dispute governed by this Paragraph, the Settling Defendant asserting that there is a dispute shall have the burden of demonstrating that the decision of the Waste Management Division Director is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise not in accordance with law. Judicial review of EPA's decision shall be on the Administrative Record compiled pursuant to this Section, Paragraph F.1.
- G. Formal dispute resolution for disputes that neither pertain to the selection or adequacy of any response action nor are otherwise accorded review on the Administrative Record under applicable principles of administrative law, shall be governed by this Paragraph.
- Statement of Position submitted pursuant to Section XX, Paragraph C, the Director of the Waste Management Division, EPA Region IX, will issue a final written decision resolving the dispute. The Waste Management Division Director's decision shall be binding on the Settling Defendant asserting that there is a dispute unless, within thirty (30) days of receipt of the decision, such Settling Defendant files with the Court and serves on the other party or parties a notice of judicial appeal setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of the AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

- Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to Settling Defendant's notice of judicial appeal.
- 2. Notwithstanding Paragraph R of Section I (Background) of this Consent Decree, judicial review of any dispute governed by this Paragraph shall be governed by applicable provisions of law.
- 7 The invocation of formal dispute resolution procedures Η. under this Section shall not extend, postpone or affect in any 8 way any obligation not directly in dispute of the Settling 10 Defendant asserting that there is a dispute under this Consent 11 Decree, unless EPA or the Court agrees otherwise. If a Settling 12 Defendant prevails, the deadlines for any requirements which it 13 could not practicably meet because of the dispute resolution 14 proceedings shall be extended to account for any delays because 15 of such proceedings. Stipulated penalties with respect to the 16 disputed matter shall continue to accrue but payment shall be 17 stayed pending resolution of the dispute as provided in Section 18 XXI (Stipulated Penalties), Paragraph L. Notwithstanding the stay of payment, stipulated penalties shall accrue from the first 19 20 day of noncompliance with any applicable provision of this 21 Consent Decree. In the event that the Settling Defendant does not prevail on the disputed issue, stipulated penalties shall be 22 assessed and paid as provided in Section XXI (Stipulated 23 24 Penalties), unless EPA in its discretion elects not to assess 25 some or all of such penalties.

XXI. STIPULATED PENALTIES

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

26

27

28

Unless excused by EPA or a force majeure event, a Settling AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

Defendant shall be liable for stipulated penalties to the United States, as set forth in this Section, for each failure by such Settling Defendant to comply with the requirements of this Consent Decree. "Compliance" by the Settling Work Defendant shall include completion of the O&M activities under this Consent Decree or any work plan or deliverable approved under this Consent Decree or incorporated by this Consent Decree in accordance with all applicable requirements of law, this Consent Decree, the O&M Second Stage Work Plan and any plans or other documents approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree or any such work plan or deliverable, and within the specified time schedules established by and approved under this Consent Decree or any such work plan or deliverable.

A. Unless expressly stated otherwise in this Consent
Decree, any reports, plans, specifications, schedules,
deliverables, appendices, and attachments required by this
Consent Decree, or implemented in whole or in part by this
Consent Decree, are, upon approval by EPA, incorporated into this
Decree. A failure by the Settling Work Defendant to comply with
applicable EPA-approved reports, plans, specifications,
schedules, deliverables, appendices or attachments shall be
considered a failure to comply with this Consent Decree and shall
subject such Settling Work Defendant to stipulated penalties as
provided in Paragraphs D through H of this Section.

- B. Failure to comply with this Consent Decree shall also include but is not limited to the following:
- 1. Failure by the Settling Work Defendant to submit AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

deliverables specified in this Consent Decree in an acceptable manner and by the date due pursuant to this Consent Decree; provided, however, that if the failure to comply results from a determination by EPA that a written deliverable is inadequate, the Settling Work Defendant shall have ten (10) working days from receipt of EPA's written notice of disapproval, or such other longer time period as provided by EPA in the notice of disapproval, within which to correct the inadequacy and resubmit the deliverable for approval. Any disapproval by EPA shall include an explanation of why the deliverable is inadequate. If the resubmitted deliverable is inadequate, the Settling Work Defendant shall be deemed to be in violation of this Consent Decree.

- 2. Failure by Settling Work Defendant to use best efforts to obtain any permits necessary for offsite work which Settling Work Defendants is required to perform or failure by Settling Work Defendant to use best reasonable efforts to obtain necessary access agreements.
- 3. Failure by Settling Work Defendant to comply with any permit obtained for the purpose of implementing the requirements of this Consent Decree in any offsite location.
- C. Stipulated penalties for failure to perform any requirement of this Consent Decree for which a deadline is specified shall begin to accrue on the first day after the deadline.

 Stipulated penalties for any other violation of this Consent Decree shall begin to accrue on the first day after a Settling Defendant subject to penalties receives notice from EPA of such

violation. For any violation, stipulated penalties shall continue to accrue up to and including the day on which the non-compliance is corrected. EPA, in its sole discretion, may waive or reduce stipulated penalties. If EPA does not waive stipulated penalties, EPA shall provide the Settling Defendant subject to penalties with written notice of the alleged deficiency in compliance with this Consent Decree, and accrued stipulated penalties shall become payable thirty (30) days after such Settling Defendant's receipt of EPA's written notice of deficiency; provided, however, that if EPA provides notice of an alleged deficiency, and that deficiency continues, EPA shall not be required to provide any additional notice in order for stipulated penalties to continue to accrue and become payable.

- D. Stipulated penalties shall accrue in the following amounts, and a Settling Work-Defendant subject to such penalties may not dispute the amount of stipulated penalties due per type of violation:
 - 1. Monthly Progress Reports and Other Periodic Reports
- a. Settling Work Defendant shall pay a stipulated penalty of \$ 750 per day for the submission of a late or deficient periodic progress report.
 - 2. MCL Effluent Violations
- a. At any time if the concentration of TCE in the treated water is greater than 5.0 parts per billion ("ppb"),

¹ EPA has deleted the qualification "Work" in this phrase since it is not only the Settling Work Defendant that may incur stipulated penalties under this Consent Decree.

²⁸ AUGUST 5, 1996
BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

Settling Work Defendant shall be considered to have been out of compliance for each day for which the representative treated water sample indicates that the concentration of TCE was greater than 5.0 ppb. Settling Work Defendant shall be subject to stipulated penalties in the amount of \$ 3,750 per day for each such day of noncompliance.

- b. At any time if the concentration of PCE in the treated water is greater than 5.0 ppb, Settling Work Defendant shall be considered to have been out of compliance for each day for which the representative treated water sample indicates that the concentration of PCE was greater than 5.0 ppb. Settling Work Defendant shall be subject to stipulated penalties in the amount of \$ 3,750 per day for each such day of noncompliance.
- c. At any time if the concentration of a volatile organic compound ("VOC") other than TCE or PCE in the treated water is greater than the MCL in effect at that time for such VOC, Settling Work Defendant shall be considered to have been out of compliance for each day for which the representative treated water sample indicates that the concentration of that VOC was greater than the MCL in effect, provided that the MCL in effect was promulgated on or before the Effective Date of this Consent Decree. Settling Work Defendant shall be subject to stipulated penalties in the amount of \$ 3,750 per day for each such day of noncompliance.
- d. At any time after the first sixty (60) days after an analytical sample result shows that the concentration of a contaminant in the treated water other than a VOC or nitrate is AUGUST 5, 1996

greater than the MCL in effect at that time for such contaminant, Settling Work Defendant shall be considered to have been out of compliance for each day for which the representative treated water sample indicates that the concentration of that contaminant was greater than the MCL in effect, provided that the MCL in effect was promulgated on or before the Effective Date of this Consent Decree. Settling Work Defendant shall be subject to stipulated penalties in the amount of \$ 2,250 per day for each such day of noncompliance.

E. Class I Violations

Period of Noncompliance Penalty Per Day Per Violation

Days 1 - 5 \$ 750

Days 6 - 30 \$ 2,250

After 30 Days \$ 3,750

- 1. Each failure to comply in a timely and adequate manner with the terms of this Consent Decree or any work plan implemented in whole or in part by this Consent Decree, that is not specifically listed as a violation elsewhere under this Section, and specifically including any failure to comply with the substantive standards of any applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement ("ARAR") identified in the ROD (as modified by the ESD and SOW) and not identified as a violation under Paragraphs E and G of this Section.
- 2. Failure by Settling Work Defendant to submit any of the following:
 - i. Draft Second Stage Operations and Maintenance Work
 Plan

1	ii. Draft Second Stage Operations and Maintenance
2	Staffing Plan
3	iii. Draft Second Stage Operations and Maintenance Time
4	Line and Schedule
5	iv. Draft Quality Assurance Project Plan
6	v. Draft Health and Safety Plan
7	vi. Notification of Selection of O&M Contractors/
8	Subcontractors
9	3. Violation by Settling Work Defendant of ARARs, other
10	than MCL violations, and South Coast Air Quality Management
11	District Regulation XIII.
12	F. Class II Violations
13	Period of Noncompliance Penalty Per Day Per Violation
14	Days 1 - 5 \$ 1,500
15	Days 6 - 30 \$ 3,500
16	After 30 Days \$ 10,000
17	Each violation by Settling Work Defendant of the following:
18	i. Obligation to hold Final Inspection(s)
19	ii. ARARs, other than MCL violations and South Coast
20	Air Quality Management District Regulation XIII
21	Failure to submit any of the following:
22	i. Second Stage Operations and Maintenance Work Plan
23	ii. Second Stage Operations and Maintenance Staffing
24	Plan
25	iii. Second Stage Operations and Maintenance Time Line
26	and Schedule
27	iv. Second Stage Statement of Work
28	AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

1	v. Notification of Selection of O&M
2	Contractors/Subcontractors
3	vi. Quality Assurance Project Plan
4	vii. Health and Safety Plan
5	Failure by Settling Work Defendant to comply with any of the
6	following:
7	i. Quality Assurance Project Plan
8	ii. Health and Safety Plan
9	iii. Second Stage O&M Work Plan
10	If a Settling Defendant fails to pay stipulated penalties in
11	accordance with this Section, the United States may institute
12	proceedings in this action or a new action to collect the
13	penalties and any Interest due.
14	G. Payments of stipulated penalties shall be made by
15	Settling Defendant as follows:
16	1. Stipulated penalties assessed for failure to make
17	full and timely payment to the O&M Trust Account pursuant to
18	Section XIV (Funding of Response Activities) or to the United
19	States or the State pursuant to Section XVII (Reimbursement of
20	Response Costs) shall be paid by Lockheed Martin.
21	2. Except for stipulated penalties which arise due to
22	Lockheed Martin's obligations under Section XTV (Funding of
23	Response Activities) or the UAO Parties' failure to comply with
24	their obligations under Paragraph M of Section XIV (Funding of
25	Response Activities), all other stipulated penalties assessed for
26	failure to comply with Section VI (Performance of the Work By
27	Settling Defendants) shall be the responsibility of and be paid

by the City of Burbank. No such stipulated penalties may be paid from the O&M Trust Account.

- 3. Stipulated penalties for failure by Lockheed Martin to make full and timely payment or to meet other obligations pursuant to Section XIV (Funding of Response Activities), except for such penalties as may be incurred for failure to make full and timely payment to paragraph M of that Section, shall be paid by Lockheed Martin.
- 4. Stipulated penalties for failure to make full and timely payment pursuant to Paragraph M of Section XIV (Funding of Response Activities), Paragraph M of this Consent Decree shall be paid by Lockheed Martin or the UAO Parties according to the EPA Preliminary Finding and/or Further Determination required by that Section and Paragraph.
- H. Notwithstanding the stipulated penalties provided for in this Section, and to the extent authorized by law, EPA may elect to assess civil penalties or bring an action in District Court to enforce the provisions of this Consent Decree. Payment of stipulated penalties shall not preclude EPA from electing to pursue any other remedy or sanction it may have to enforce this Consent Decree, and nothing in this Decree shall preclude EPA from seeking statutory penalties against a Settling Defendant who violates statutory or regulatory requirements, except that the total civil penalties (including stipulated penalties) collected by EPA for any such violation shall not exceed \$ 25,000 per day per violation.
- I. A Settling Defendant may dispute any notice of AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

deficiency issued to it. Penalties shall continue to accrue as provided in this Section but need not be paid until the following:

- 1. If the dispute is resolved by agreement or by decision or order of EPA which is not appealed to this Court, accrued penalties, plus interest at the rate specified in 28 U.S.C. § 1961, shall be paid to EPA within thirty (30) days of the agreement or Settling Defendant's receipt of EPA's decision or order;
- 2. If the Settling Defendant appeals EPA's decision pursuant to Section XX (Dispute Resolution) and prevails upon final resolution of the dispute, no stipulated penalties or interest thereon will be payable and any assessment of stipulated penalties and Interest thereon shall be set aside in writing by EPA;
- 3. If the Settling Defendant appeals EPA's decision pursuant to Section XX (Dispute Resolution) and does not prevail upon final resolution of the dispute, all accrued stipulated penalties, plus Interest shall be paid within thirty (30) days of a final Court order.
- 4. If a Settling Defendant appeals EPA's decision to this Court and the Court's decision is appealed by any Party, the Settling Defendant shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the District Court to be owing to the United States or the State into an interest-bearing escrow account within sixty (60) days of receipt of the Court's decision or order. Penalties determined by the Court to be accruing shall be paid into this account as AUGUST 5, 1996

they continue to accrue, at least every sixty (60) days. Within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the final appellate Court decision, the escrow agent shall pay the balance of the account to EPA and the State or to Settling Defendants to the extent that they it prevails.

1 |

- J. In the event that EPA assumes performance of a portion or all of the O&M Activities pursuant to Paragraph F of Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), Settling Work Defendant shall remain liable for any stipulated penalties that have accrued or that may accrue under this Consent Decree. To the extent EPA assumes some or all of the Work required to be performed by the Settling Work Defendant as to the Upstream Facilities, EPA shall be substituted for the Settling Work Defendant as the recipient of the Annual Budget.
- K. All penalties owed to the United States and the State under this section shall be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the Settling Defendant's receipt from EPA of a demand for payment of the penalties, unless the Settling Defendant invokes the Dispute Resolution procedures under Section XX (Dispute Resolution). All payments under this Section shall be transmitted via EFT to the U.S. Department of Justice Lockbox, and shall reference CERCLA Number SSID # L6, DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-442 and USAO File NO. 91-03-463. Written verification of EFTs pursuant to this Section shall be sent to the United States as provided in Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions).
- L. The payment of penalties shall not alter in any way the Settling Work Defendant's obligation to complete the performance AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

of the O&M Activities required under this Consent Decree.

- M. If a Settling Defendant fails to pay stipulated penalties when due, the United States or the State may institute proceedings to collect the penalties, as well as Interest. The Settling Defendant shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance, which shall begin to accrue thirty (30) days after the date of demand made pursuant to this Section, Paragraph L.
- N. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as prohibiting, altering, or in any way limiting the ability of the United States or the State to seek any other remedies or sanctions available by virtue of a Settling Defendant's violation of this Consent Decree or of the statutes and regulations upon which it is based, including, but not limited to, penalties pursuant to Section 122(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(1).

XXII. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFFS

In consideration of the actions that will be performed and the payments that will be made by the Settling Defendants under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraphs B, C and E of this Section, the United States and the State covenant not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendants, the Released Parties, their respective officers, directors, employees and agents, and where the Settling Defendant or Released Party is a trust, its trustees appointed to carry out the purposes of the trust, pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA and Section 7003 of RCRA, and the State covenants not to sue or to take administrative action pursuant to Chapters 6.5, Sections 25100 et AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

seq., and 6.8 Sections 25300 et seq. of the California Health and 1 Safety Code for all Covered Matters expressly specified in 2 3 Section XXIV (Effect of Settlement; Contribution Protection). 4 Paragraph C. performance of the O&M Activities and for recovery 5 of Past Site-Specific Response Costs, Future Site-Specific 6 Response Costs, and Past Basin wide Response Costs. As to each 7 Settling Defendant, these covenants not to sue are conditioned 8 upon the complete and satisfactory performance by such Settling 9 Defendants of their its then-current obligations under this 10 Consent Decree and shall remain in effect as to each Settling 11 Defendant until and unless such Settling Defendant is not in 12 compliance with the obligations imposed upon it by this Consent 13 Decree. These covenants not to sue extend only to each Settling 14 Defendant and its subsidiaries, affiliates, and corporate or 15 institutional predecessors and successors, the and related 16 Released Parties, and their respective officers, directors, 17 employees and agents, and where the Settling Defendant or 18 Released Party is a trust, its trustees appointed to carry out 19 the purposes of the trust. These covenants not to sue do not 20 extend to any other person. No person otherwise liable 21 independent of liability associated with its status as a corporate or institutional predecessor or successor to a Settling 22 23 Defendant shall benefit from this provision. 24

United States' Pre-certification Reservations.

Except as to the parties listed in Appendix, and notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without

AUGUST 5, 1996 28 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

25

26

prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel Settling Defendants or any of them (1) to perform further response actions relating to the Site or (2) to reimburse the United States for additional costs of response if, prior to certification of completion of O&M Activities:

- (i) conditions at the Site, previously unknown to EPA, are discovered, or
- (ii) information, previously unknown to EPA, is received, in whole or in part,

and these previously unknown conditions or information together with any other relevant information indicates that the Remedial Action or the O&M Activities are not protective of human health or the environment.

- B. Except as to the parties listed in Appendix 3, the United States also reserves the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel Settling Defendants or any of them to (1) perform further response actions relating to the Site or (2) to reimburse the United States for additional costs of response if, prior to certification of completion of the O&M Activities, (1) the Settling Work Defendant substantially fails and/or refuses to perform the O&M Activities, or (2) a force majeure event causes major damage (as defined in Section XIV (Funding of Response Activities), Paragraph N) to the Plant Facilities.
- C. <u>United States' Post-certification Reservations</u>. Except as to the parties listed in Appendix 3, and notwithstanding any AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

other provision of this Consent Decree, the United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, the right to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action, or to issue an administrative order seeking to compel Settling Defendants or any of them (1) to perform further response actions relating to the Site or (2) to reimburse the United States for additional costs of response if, subsequent to certification of completion of the O&M Activities:

- (i) conditions at the Site, previously unknown to EPA, are discovered, or
- (ii) information, previously unknown to EPA, is received, in whole or in part,

and these previously unknown conditions or this information together with any other relevant information indicate that the Remedial Action or the O&M Activities are not protective of human health or the environment.

D. For purposes of Section XXII, Paragraph, the information and the conditions known to EPA shall include only that information and those conditions set forth in the ROD for the Site, the administrative record supporting the ROD, and information required to be and actually submitted to EPA pursuant to the First Consent Decree or UAO 92-12 prior to the date of lodging of this Consent Decree. For purposes of Section XXII, Paragraph C, the information received by and the conditions known to EPA shall include only that information and those conditions set forth in the ROD, the administrative record supporting the ROD, and any information received by or required to be and

actually submitted to EPA pursuant to the requirements of the First Consent Decree, this Consent Decree of UAC 92-12 prior to Certification of Completion of the O&M activities.

- E. General Reservations of Rights. The covenants not to sue set forth above do not pertain to any matters other than the Covered Matters expressly specified in Section XXIV (Effect of Settlement; Contribution Protection), Paragraph C, those expressly specified in Section XXII, Paragraph A. The United States and the State reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against a Settling Defendant or a Released Party with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:
 - (1) claims based on a failure by such Settling
 Defendant to meet a requirement of this Consent Decree;
 - (2) liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of hazardous substances outside of the Site;
 - (3) liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources;
 - (4) liability for response costs that have been or may be incurred by any Federal or State agency which is the trustee for natural resources and which hasve, or may in the future, spend funds relating to the Site;
 - (5) criminal liability;
 - (6) liability for violations of Federal or State law which occur during or after implementation of the Remedial Action or O&M activities;

- 1 2 3 4
- 5 6
- 7 8
- 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28
- AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

- (7)liability for additional response actions as may be required pursuant to Section VII (Additional Response) or VIII (Periodic Review) of this Decree, to the extent Settling Defendants do not agree in this Consent Decree to fund and/or perform such response actions under this consent Decree;
- liability for additional operable units at the Site, for other operable units outside the Site, or theany interim or final Basin-wide response action; and
- (9) liability for Future Basin-wide Response Costs, and any costs that the United States or the State will incur or have incurred related to the Site which are not within the definition of Past Site-Specific Response Costs, Future Site-Specific Response Costs, Fast Basin-wide Response Costs.
- F. In the event EPA determines that Settling Work Defendant has failed to implement any provisions of the O&M Activities in an adequate or timely manner, EPA may perform any and all portions of the O&M Activities as EPA determines In such event, Lockheed Martin shall fund EPA's necessary. performance of such O&M Activities pursuant to Section XIV (Funding of Response Activities), Paragraph H.2.b-c. Settling Work Defendant shall reimburse Lockheed Martin for that portion of EPA's costs incurred to fund EPA's takeover and/or performance of O&M Activities which is caused by the necessity for EPA to take over such O&M Activities from the Settling Work Defendant pursuant to this Section and Paragraph. If EPA takes over the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

performance of some or all of the OAM Activities pursuant to this Section and Paragraph. EPA shall issue a determination at the request of Settling Work Defendant or Lockheed Martin concerning which costs incurred by EPA were due to the necessity for EPA to take over such OAM Activities from the Settling Work Defendant.

In no event shall the accounting of such costs for which the Settling Work Defendant may be required to reimburse Lockheed pursuant to this Paragraph continue for a period longer than one year from EPA's takeover of such OAM Activities. Settling Work Defendant or Lockheed Martin may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) to dispute EPA's determination concerning such costs.

Settling Work Defendant may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) to dispute EPA's determination that the Settling Work Defendant failed to implement a provision of the O&M Activities in an adequate or timely manner as arbitrary and capricious or otherwise not in accordance with law. Such dispute shall be resolved on the Administrative Record. EPA shall be substituted as the recipient of funds provided in the O&M Trust Account for such activities, and additional costs it any, incurred by the United States in performing the O&M Activities pursuant to this Paragraph shall be considered Future Site Specific Response Costs that Lockheed Martin shall pay pursuant to Section XVII (Reimbursement of Response Costs). Except as is necessary to address an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment, EPA shall provide Settling Work Defendant with ten (10) days AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

written notice of its intent to perform a portion or all of the O&M Activities. In the notice, EPA shall also describe the alleged deficiency. If the Settling Work Defendant disagrees with EPA's determination that it has failed to perform, in an adequate and timely manner, the O&M Activities required to be performed by this Consent Decree and Settling Work Defendant desires to dispute EPA's determination in this regard, Settling Work Defendant shall invoke the dispute resolution provisions of Section XX (Dispute Resolution) within thirty (30) days of receiving written notice of EPA's intent. Invocation of dispute resolution shall not divest EPA of its right to perform the O&M Activities during the dispute. Upon receipt of notification that EPA intends to take over the performance of a portion or all of the O&M Activities, Settling Work Defendant's obligations to perform such O&M Activities pursuant to this Consent Decree shall terminate and stipulated penalties, if any are being incurred due to Settling Work Defendant's failure to perform such O&M Activities in a timely or adequate manner, shall cease to accrue against Settling Work Defendant for such failure.

H. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent
Decree, the United States and the State retain all authority and
reserve all rights to take any and all response actions
authorized by law. However, the obligation, if any, of the
Settling Defendants to reimburse the United States for taking
such actions shall be governed by the provisions of this Consent
Decree to the extent Settling Defendants comply with their
obligations to fund or perform such response actions pursuant to
AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

this Consent Decree.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

XXIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

- Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States with respect to the Site or this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 111, 112, 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9611, 9612, and 9613, or any other provision of law, any claim against the United States, including any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States under CERCLA Sections 107 or 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 or 9613, related to the Site except as expressly reserved in Paragraph XXIII (Covenants By Settling Defendants), Paragraphs (A)(1), (2), or (3) of this Consent Decree or Section XVII, Paragraph B of the First Consent Decree, or any claims arising out of response activities at the Site. However, the Settling Defendants reserve, this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, and nothing in this Consent Decree shall be interpreted as waiving, abrogating or resolving:
 - (1) any claims which any Settling Defendant has or may have based upon any alleged liability which the United States

 Department of Defense, any branch or division thereof ("DOD"), or any predecessor agency to DOD for conditions at the Site pursuant to CERCLA Sections 106, 107, 113, 120 or 310, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, 9613, 9620 or 9659 or RCRA Section 7002, 42 U.S.C. § 6972;
 - (2) any claims which any Settling Defendant has or may AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

have with respect to the Site against the United States pursuant to any contract between any Settling Defendant and the United States or between any Settling Defendant and any government contractor(s) related to the Site; or

- (3) actions against the United States based on negligent actions taken directly by the United States (not including oversight or approval of the Settling Defendants' plans or activities) that are brought pursuant to any statute other than CERCLA and for which the waiver of sovereign immunity is found in a statute other than CERCLA.
- (4) actions against the State taken directly by the State based on negligent actions taken directly by the State (not including oversight or approval of the Settling Defendants' plans or activities) that are brought pursuant to any statute or law other than CERCLA, RCRA, and Chapters 6.5, Sections 25100 et seg., and 6.8, Sections 25300 et seg. of the California Health & Safety Code.
- B. In agreeing to thisese reservations, the United States and the State does not admit liability on any such claims and expressly reserves any and all defenses that iteither of them may have to any such claims.
- C. Except as expressly set forth in this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants do not waive any claim against and do not release or covenant not to sue the United States of the State with respect to any matter. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R.

§ 300.700(d).

1 |

D. Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the State with respect to the Site or this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, (1) any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Waste Control Account, Hazardous Substance Account, or Hazardous Substance Cleanup Fund through Health and Safety Code section 25375 or any other provision of law; (2) any claim against the State under Sections 107 or 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 or 9613, or Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 9673; (3) any other claims arising out of Settling Defendants' response activities at the Site, including but not limited to nuisance, trespass, taking equitable indemnity and indemnity under California law, contribution under California and Federal law, or strict liability under California law.

XXIV. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT: CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

A. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to or a Released Party under this Consent Decree. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Consent Decree may have under applicable law. Each of the parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a party or Released Party hereto.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- At such time as a judgment is entered and becomes final 1 | judicially approving this Consent Decree, each Settling Defendant hereby expressly waives any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution, defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action under State or Federal law against all other Settling Defendants with respect to Covered Matters specified in Section XXIV (Effect of Settlement: Contribution Protection), Paragraph C. With regard to claims by third parties for contribution against Settling Defendants and/or Released Parties for such Covered Matters, matters addressed in this Consent Decree, the parties hereto agree that the Settling Defendants and Released Parties are entitled to such protection from contribution actions or claims as is provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2).
 - The Covered Matters in this Consent Decree are:
 - EPA's and the State's Past Site-Specific Response Costs and Past Basin-wide Response Costs,
 - EPA's and the State's Future Site-Specific Response Costs for the Site,
 - all matters addressed in the First Consent Decree and this Consent Decree,
 - 4. all matters addressed in UAO 92-12 through the period covered during this Consent Decree,
 - all costs of implementing the O&M Activities and any other response activity to be performed under this Consent Decree, except to the extent this Consent Decree does not provide for one or more of the Settling Defendants to fund and/or to

perform any part of such activities.

- D. The Settling Defendants agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by them for matters related to this Consent Decree they will notify the United States and the State in writing no later than sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim.
- E. The Settling Defendants also agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters related to this Consent Decree they will notify in writing the United States and the State within sixty (60) days of service of the complaint on them. In addition, Settling Defendants shall notify the United States and the State within ten (10) days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within ten (10) days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial.
- F. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States or the State for injunctive relief, recovery cf response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States or the State in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section XXIII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs).

G. Payment of all sums thich a Settling Cash Defendant is obligated to pay, pursuant to Sections XIV (Funding of Response Activities) and XVII (Reimbursement of Response Costs) of this Consent Decree, comprises full settlement for all covered Matters addressed in the First Consent Decree and UAO 92-12. Thus, with regard to claims for contribution against Settling Defendants for matters addressed in the First Consent Decree and UAO 92-12, the Parties hereto agree that the and thus such Settling Cash Defendants and related Released Parties are entitled to such protection from contribution actions or claims as is provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2).

XXV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

- A. Settling Defendants shall provide to EPA and the State, upon request, copies of all non-privileged documents and information within their possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to response actions at the Site or to the implementation of this Consent Decree including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information related to the O&M Activities. Settling Defendants shall also make available to EPA and the State, for purposes of investigation or information gathering, their employees, agents, or representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the O&M Activities.
- B. Settling Defendants may assert confidentiality claims covering part or all of the documents or information submitted to AUGUST 5, 1996
 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiffs under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Documents or information determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies documents or information when they are submitted to EPA and the State, or if EPA has notified Settling Defendants that the documents or information are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, the public may be given access to such documents or information without further notice to Settling Defendants.

The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by In the case of documents, if a Settling Defendant asserts law. such a privilege in lieu of providing documents, it shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the contents of the document, record, or information: and (6) the privilege asserted by such Settling Defendant. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a document, the document shall be AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

provided to EPA in redacted form.

D. No claim of confidentiality on privilege shall be made with respect to any document that falls within Section 104(e)(7)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7)(F).

XXVI. RETENTION OF RECORDS

- A. Until ten (10) years after the Settling Defendants' receipt of EPA's notification pursuant to Paragraph B.2 of Section XV (Certification of Completion of the Work), each Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all records and documents now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to the performance of the O&M Activities or liability of any person for response actions conducted and to be conducted at the Site, regardless of any document retention policy to the contrary. Until ten (10) years after Settling Defendants' receipt of EPA's notification pursuant to Paragraph A.2 of Section XV (Certification of Completion), Settling Defendants shall also instruct their contractors and agents to preserve all documents, records, and information of whatever kind, nature or description relating to the performance of the O&M Activities.
- B. At the conclusion of this document retention period,
 Settling Defendants shall notify the United States and the State
 at least ninety (90) days prior to the destruction of any such
 records or documents, and, upon request by the United States or
 the State such Settling Defendant shall deliver any such records
 or documents to EPA or the State. A Settling Defendant may
 assert that certain documents, records and other information are
 AUGUST 5, 1996

1 | privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other 2 privilege recognized by law. In the case of documents, if a 3 Settling Defendant asserts such a privilege, it shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (1) the title of the document, 4 record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or 5 information; (3) the name and title of the author of the 6 document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each 7 addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the subject of the 8 9 document, record, or information: and (6) the privilege asserted 10 by the Settling Defendant. However, no documents, reports or other information created or generated pursuant to the 11 requirements of this Consent Decree shall be withheld on the 12 grounds that they are privileged. If a claim of privilege 13 applies only to a portion of the document, it shall be provided 14 to EPA in redacted form. 15

C. Each Settling Defendant hereby certifies, individually, that it has not willfully and for an improper purpose altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any records, documents or other information relating to its potential liability regarding the Site since notification of potential liability by the United States or the State or the filing of suit against it regarding the Site and that to the best of its knowledge, that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Section 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e) and 9622(e), and Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6927.

27

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

- 132 -1 XXVII. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS 2 Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, 3 written notice is required to be given or a report or other document is required to be sent by one party to another, it shall 4 5 be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a 6 7 change to the other parties in writing. All notices and submissions shall be considered effective upon receipt, unless 8 9 otherwise provided. Written notice as specified herein shall 10 constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice 11 requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United 12 States, EPA, the State, and the Settling Defendants, 13 respectively. 14 As to the United States: 15 Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources Division 16 U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7611 17 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 Re: DJ # 90-11-2-442 18 19 and 20 Director, Waste Management Division 21 United States Environmental Protection Agency Region IX 22 75 Hawthorne St. San Francisco, CA 94105 23 24 As to EPA: 25 EPA Project Coordinator, San Fernando Valley Burbank Operable Unit

28 AUGUST 5, 1996
BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

75 Hawthorne Street, H-6-4

United States Environmental Protection Agency

26

27

Region IX

San Francisco, CA 94105 1 | As to the State: 2 Hamid Saebfar, Chief 3 Site Mitigation Cleanup Operations Department of Toxic Substances Control 4 Southern California Branch 5 1011 N. Grandview Avenue Glendale, CA 91201 6 As to the Settling Work Defendant: 7 Settling Work Defendant's Project Coordinator 8 [Address] 9 As to the Settling Defendants Other Than Settling Work Defendant: 10 As set forth in Appendix 7. 11 XXVIII. EFFECTIVE DATE 12 Α. The Effective Date of this Consent Decree shall be the 13 date upon which it is entered by the Court, except as otherwise 14 provided herein. 15 XXIX. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION 16 This Court retains jurisdiction over both the subject 17 matter of this Consent Decree and the Settling Defendants for the 18 duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this 19 Consent Decree for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to 20 apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, 21 and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the 22 construction or modification of this Consent Decree, or to 23 effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms, or to resolve 24 disputes in accordance with Section XX (Dispute Resolution) 25 hereof. 26 XXX. APPENDICES 27 AUGUST 5, 1996

BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

The following appendices are attached to and

1 1 2

A.

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

incorporated into this Consent Decree:

Appendix 1 is the complete list of the Settling Cash Defendants and related Released Parties.

Appendix 2 is the complete list of the Owner Settling Defendants.

Appendix 3 is the complete list of the non-Owner Settling Defendants who are excepted from the operation of Section XX (Covenants not to Sue by Plaintiffs), Paragraphs A. B and C.

Appendix 4 is the Second Stage Statement of Work.

Appendix 5 is ESD 2.

Appendix 6 is the complete list of Settling Cash Defendants and the amounts paid to Lockheed Martin in the action Lockheed Corporation v. Crane Company et al. United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 94-2717 MRP(Tx). Appendix 6 is herewith submitted under seal.

Appendix 7 is a list of the Settling Defendants and for each Settling Defendant, the person to whom notices and submissions shall be sent pursuant to Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions) of this Consent Decree. a list of certain Settling Defendants excepted from the operation of Section XX (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), Paragraphs A, B and C.

The following exhibits are attached to this Consent Decree for reference purposes and are not incorporated herein unless otherwise noted.

Exhibit 1 is the First Consent Decree.

"Appendix A" to the First Consent Decree is the ROD.

"Appendix B" to the First Consent Decree is ESD 1.

"Appendix C" to the First Consent Decree is the Map of Corrected Well Locations.

"Appendix D" to the First Consent Decree is the SOW.

"Appendix E" to the First Consent Decree is Schematics.

"Appendix F" to the First Consent Decree is a Plot Map.

Exhibit 2 is Unilateral Administrative Order 92-12 and the April 26, 1992 Amendment to Unilateral Administrative Order 92-12.

Exhibit 3 is a Memorandum of Agreement among the Settling
Defendants concerning insurance coverage for the Upstream
Facilities and Blending Facility.

XXXI. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Settling Work Defendant shall propose participate and Α. cooperate with to EPA and the State concerning its participation in the community relations plan ("Plan") for the Site to be developed or which has been previously developed by EPA. consultation with Settling Work Defendant, EPA will determine the appropriate role for the Settling Work Defendant under the Plan. Settling Work Defendant shall cooperate with EPA and the State in implementing the Community Relations Plan and pursuant thereto, in providing information regarding the O&M Activities to the public. As requested by EPA, or the State, Settling Work Defendant, Lockheed Martin, and/or the Settling Cash Defendants (including the UAO Parties) shall participate in the preparation of information for dissemination to the public and in public meetings which may be held or sponsored by EPA or the State to AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

explain activities at or relating to the Site.

XXXII. MODIFICATION

- A. Schedules specified in this Consent Decree, in the Second Stage Statement of Work, or in any work plan approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree for completion of the O&M Activities or any other response activities may be modified by agreement of EPA and the Settling Work Defendant, and any other Settling Defendant whose rights and/or obligations would be substantially affected thereby. All such modifications shall be made in writing.
- B. No modifications shall be made to the Second Stage Statement of Work without written notification to and consent by any Settling Defendant whose rights or obligations would be substantially affected thereby, and written approval of the United States. and Settling Work Defendant. Prior to providing its approval to any modification, the United States will provide the State with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification.
- C. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to alter EPA's authority to make changes to the interim remedy for the Burbank Operable Unit in compliance with CERCLA, the National Contingency Plan, and any other applicable laws or regulations, or to require court approval of such changes.
- D. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to alter the Court's power to enforce, supervise or approve modifications to this Consent Decree.

XXXIII. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

A. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than thirty (30) days for public notice and comment in accordance with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(2), and 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. The United States reserves the right to withdraw or withhold its consent or suggest modifications to this Decree if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this Consent Decree without further notice. However, Settling Defendants' consent to the entry of this Consent Decree is not consent to any modifications, and no Settling Defendant shall be bound by modifications to this Decree without its prior written consent.

B. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable as to any party at the sole discretion of such party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.

XXXIV. <u>SIGNATORIES/SERVICE</u>

A. Each undersigned representative of a Settling Defendant to this Consent Decree and the Assistant Attorney General for Environment and Natural Resources of the Department of Justice certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to this document.

- 138 -
B. Each Settling Defendant hereby agrees not to oppose
entry of this Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any
provision of this Consent Decree unless the United States has
notified the Settling Defendants in writing that it no longer
supports entry of the Consent Decree.
C. Each Settling Defendant shall identify, on the attached
signature page, the name, address and telephone number of an
agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on
behalf of that party with respect to all matters arising under or
relating to this Consent Decree. Settling Defendants hereby
agree to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal
service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of
Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court,
including, but not limited to, service of a summons.
SO ORDERED THIS DAY OF, 19
United States District Judge

1 2 3	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States v. Lockheed Martin Corporation</u> , et al., relating to the San Fernando Valley North Hollywood, Area 1, Burbank Operable Unit Superfund Site.
4	FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
5	
6	Date:
7	Lois Schiffer Assistant Attorney General
8	Environment and Natural Resources Division
9	U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530
10	Washing con, D.C. 2000
11	William Weinischke
12	Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources
13	Division U.S. Department of Justice
14	Washington, D.C. 20530
15	
16	T L. D
17	Kurt Zimmerman Assistant United States Attorney
18	Central District of California U.S. Department of Justice
19	Federal Building 300 North Los Angeles Street
20	Los Angeles, CA 90012
21	
22	[Name] Assistant Administrator for
23	Enforcement U.S. Environmental Protection
24	Agency 401 M Street, S.W.
25	Washington, D.C. 20460
26	
27	
28	AUGUST 5, 1996 BURBANK OPERABLE UNIT DRAFT CONSENT DECREE

11 2 [Name] Office of Enforcement 3 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 401 M Street, S.W. 4 Washington, D.C. 20460 5 6 7 Felicia Marcus Regional Administrator, Region IX U.S. Environmental Protection 8 Agency 75 Hawthorne Street 9 San Francisco, CA 94105 10 11 Marie M. Rongone 12 Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection 13 Agency Region IX 14 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

1	Consent Decree Signature Page United States v. Lockheed Martin et al.		
2	Onicea beaces v. poemicea nat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3		FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
4	Date:		
5			
6		Hamid Saebfar Chief, Site Mitigation Cleanup Operations	
7			
8		Department of Toxic Substances Control Southern California Branch	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Consent Decree in the		
2	matter of <u>United States v. Lockheed Martin, et al.</u> , relating		
3	to the San Fernando Valley, Area 1 (North Hollywood) Superfund		
4 5	Site, Burbank Operable Unit.		
6			
7	FORCOMPANY, INC. */		
8			
9	Date: [Name Please Type]		
10	[Title Please Type] [Address Please Type]		
11	[Address Flease Type]		
12			
13	Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:		
14	Name: [Please Type]		
15	Title:Address:		
16	Tel. Number:		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24	*/ A separate signature page must be signed by each		
25	corporation, individual or other legal entity that is settling with the United States.		
26			
27			
28			